DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 079 404

TM 003 008

TITLE

Georgia Statewide Testing Program Results 1972-73:

11th Grade Schools Category Report.

INSTITUTION

Georgia State Dept. of Education, Atlanta. Div. of

Program and Staff Development.

PUB DATE

May 73

NOTE

56p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

*Achievement Tests; Comparative Analysis; *Grade 11;

Performance Factors; Program Evaluation: Public Schools; Standardized Tests; *State Programs;

Statistical Data; *Test Results

IDENTIFIERS

*Georgia


ABSTRACT

This report, one of six volumes presenting the results of Georgia's statewide testing program, provides test results for the eleventh grade by school category and, within categories, by school. The categories, totaling 48 for individual schools and 48 for systems, were devised in an effort to make comparisons between schools and systems more meaningful. Each category is defined by three factors which have been shown to influence achievement test scores: (1) system or school size--average daily attendance; (2) mental abilities scores of students--Cognitive Abilities Test verbal scores; and (3' income level--the percent of students above a minimal income subsistence level. No in-depth analysis of results is given in this report, which should be read in conjunction with the other reports. The following information is provided: average daily attendance, scores for the Cognitive Abilities Test, mean number of students tested, percentile rank, percentage above the minimal subsistence income level, standard deviation, and scores for the Test of Academic Progress. (For r:lated documents, see TM 003 007, 009-010.) (KM)

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EQUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

*****DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PERRO
DIFFO EXACT Y AS BELEVED FROM
HE PERVON OF DIGGINE ZIT ON OFF ON
AT NO 1 POINTS OF LIER OF OPINONS
1415 DIO NOTINE ESSABLY NEPRE
SENTOFFICIAL NETWORKS LINET TIPE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OF POLICY



GEORGIA STATEWIDE TESTING PROGRAM REPORT 1972-73 SCHOOL YEAR

by
Office of Instructional Services
Division of Program and Staff Development
Statewide Testing Program
Georgia Department of Education
Atlanta, Georgia 30334
May 1973

Jack P. Nix, State Superintendent of Schools



 \mathcal{G}

For further information contact — Dr. J. W. Waites or Mr. P. C. Thomas, Statewide Testing Program, Program and Staff Development, Office of Instructional Services, State Department of Education, 258 State Office Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30334. Phone: 404-656-2688.



INTRODUCTION

When the State Legislature funded the Statewide Testing Program in 1971, Georgia took its first step toward a comprehensive educational assessment program. The tests presently being administered, however, are directly concerned with certain basic skills and abilities, and are not intended to measure total achievement in any given subject or grade. While most of these skills and abilities appear basic or essential to nearly all types of academic achievement, it is obvious that they are not inclusive of all of the desired outcomes of instruction at the levels tested. The results obtained from these tests, therefore, will not constitute in themselves an adequate basis for, and should not be unduly emphasized in, the total evaluation of instruction. It is conceivable, although very unlikely, that some schools or classes may do well on these tests and yet be relatively deficient in relation to other important responsibilities — as in music, literature, health or character education — and that other schools or classes obtaining below-average test results may, nevertheless, be providing an educational environment that in other respects is quite satisfactory.

These tests are concerned with only those outcomes of instruction that happen to be particularly amenable to objective measurement. The preasures provided can be used to distinct advantage, but their wisest use involves a full recognition of their restrictions. One should measure and treat as objectively as possible those aspects of instruction that are susceptible to such treatment. In the meantime, one should not neglect the other less tangible but perhaps equally important aspects.

To draw conclusions from a single measurement or test result is extremely difficult and can be misleading. There are often many factors which must be considered in analyzing test scores. It was for this reason that the original advisory committee for the Statewide Testing Program stated that "test results cannot be used as a basis of comparison of teachers, schools, or systems." However, the decision by the Attorney General's office that failure to release group data of the Statewide Testing Program violated the State Open Records Law mandated that the State Board of Education make public these test scores for individual schools and school systems. Consequently, the Board of Education, in compliance with State law, in May of 1972 released an alphabetical listing of systems and schools within systems with obtained scores for each major subtest at each grade level tested. Report 1 of the 1973 reports contains the same alphabetical listing of school systems and schools within systems.

Realistically speaking, the results of the Statewide Testing Program were used (and no doubt will continue to be used) to compare schools and systems as to

the quality of their instructional programs. Maithe media, the general public and some education of the general public and solitions in making their judgments.

ture

p to

v b

and

il to

batio

bols

latio

ract

test

n ot

ble

e si

on

om

leac

test

e S

sis

wid

Stat

ano

d sc

₿de

ing

Bearing this fact in mind, it is the purpose of the sons more meaningful and valid by holding confactors which research indicates influence achieve

The factors which are held constant are -

- a) The Average Daily Attendance (ADA).
- b) The Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT) verbal so
- c) The percent of ADA students in a school ab subsistence level (MSL).

By holding these three factors constant, i.e., size income level, it should be possible to categorize system in such a manner that it can be determined similar enough for meaningful comparisons. The many questions regarding reasons for a school why and/or how it differs from those in the same

There are 48 categories for systems, as well as 4 grade level tested (four, eight and eleven). Each o school size, mental abilities scores of students at Thus, Category 1 for systems includes those systems verbal scores over 100, and between 81 per above a minimal subsistence income level. Category than ADA below 2,000, CAT verbal scores be fewer students above a minimal subsistence income

It should be obvious that inter-category compa made, i.e., Category 1 systems cannot be compa Thus it is inappropriate to assume that Category job to Category 48 systems. It might very well be are doing as well as or better than some Categor over which the school system has little or no cability and family income level.

While comparisons cannot be made across categ compare schools or systems within a given cat



ture funded the Statewide Testing Program in 1971, ip toward a comprehensive educational assessment proposition of program administered, however, are directly concerned and abilities, and are not intended to measure total subject or grade. While most of these skills and abilities it to nearly all types of academic achievement, it is it inclusive of all of the desired outcomes of instruction results obtained from these tests, therefore, will not an adequate basis for, and should not be unduly emphasiation of instruction. It is conceivable, although very pols or classes may do well on these tests and yet be ation to other important responsibilities — as in music, aracter education — and that other schools or classes test results may, nevertheless, be providing an educan other respects is quite satisfactory.

I with only those outcomes of instruction that happenable to objective measurement. The measures provided dvantage, but their wisest use involves a full recognition e should measure and treat as objectively as possible on *hat are susceptible to such treatment. In the meanglect the other less tangible but perhaps equally impor-

om a single measurement or test result is extremely leading. There are often many factors which must be test scores. It was for this reason that the original ade Statewide Testing Program stated that "test results is of comparison of teachers, schools, or systems." by the Attorney General's office that failure to release wide Testing Program violated the State Open Records State Board of Education make public these test scores and school systems. Consequently, the Board of with State law, in May of 1972 released an alphabetic schools within systems with obtained scores for each ade level tested. Report 1 of the 1973 reports contains ing of school systems and schools within systems.

e results of the Statewide Testing Program were used nue to be used) to compare schools and systems as to

the quality of their instructional programs. Many of the conclusions drawn by the media, the general public and some educators concerning the quality of Georgia schools were either misleading or erroneous because they failed to consider factors influencing achievement test scores (such as socioeconomic status and abilities) in making their judgments.

Bearing this fact in mind, it is the purpose of this report to make such comparisons more meaningful and valid by holding constant a number of quantifiable factors which research indicates influence achievement test scores.

The factors which are held constant are -

- a) The Average Daily Attendance (ADA).
- b) The Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT) verbal scores.
- c) The percent of ADA students in a school above a minimal income subsistence level (MSL).

By holding these three factors constant, i.e., size of school, mental ability and income level, it should be possible to categorize (see Figure 1) each school and system in such a manner that it can be determined which schools or systems are similar enough for meaningful comparisons. This technique should help raise many questions regarding reasons for a school falling in a particular category, why and/or how it differs from those in the same or different categories.

There are 48 categories for systems, as well as 48 categories for schools at each grade level tested (four, eight and eleven). Each category is defined by system or school size, mental abilities scores of students and income level (see Figure 1). Thus, Category 1 for systems includes those systems with an ADA over 9,000, CAT verbal scores over 100, and between 81 percent to 99 percent of students above a minimal subsistence income level. Category 48 includes those systems with an ADA below 2,000, CAT verbal scores below 84, and only 41 percent or fewer students above a minimal subsistence income level.

It should be obvious that inter-category comparisons cannot be meaningfully made, i.e., Category 1 systems cannot be compared with Category 48 systems. Thus it is inappropriate to assume that Category 1 systems are doing a superior job to Category 48 systems. It might very well be that some Category 48 systems are doing as well as or better than some Category 1 systems considering factors over which the school system has little or no control, i.e., students' academic ability and family income level.

While comparisons cannot be made across categories, it should be possible to compare schools or systems within a given category. For example, since the

systems in Category 1 are all large systems with students who are high in academic abilities and family income, it is reasonable to expect their achievement test scores to also be quite similar. When wide discrepancies exist within a category, we should then begin to examine the school input factors (such as average teachers' salaries, instructional cost per pupil, etc.), as well as other factors, in attempting to determine why some schools or systems that are similar in some ways score differently in achievement level.

It should also be possible to make some assumptions when comparing a system in relationship to its category. For example, assume that system X has achievement test scores that fall at the State Norm (average). If system X is found in Category 48 where both students' abilities and family incomes are low it can probably be assumed that system X is doing an above average job of instructing its students. If system X had fallen into Category 1 where both students' abilities and family incomes are high, it is reasonable to assume that its students would be functioning in the superior range. Since, however, these students on the average are only obtaining scores at the State Norm, the system may well be functioning at a level which is below average. Bear in mind that inter-category

comparisons have not been made here; it category which has been examined.

It should also be remembered that the scores four are mean composite scores. The mean so a school or system. Consequently, any school dual students who score as high as or as low a or system. In other words, while Elementary site score of 69, some students in School X r may score as low as 45.

This report is one of six volumes in which the are found. This particular report contains cat present any in-depth analyzation of the result be drawn from this report alone. It should be reports, with particular emphasis given to concerned primarily with the analyzation of results.

all lancome, silar. Ne exancional why so chieve

ory. I at the stude syste ad fall gh, it perior scor

to ma



all large systems with students who are high in acadecome, it is reasonable to expect their achievement test ilar. When wide discrepancies exist within a category, examine the school input factors (such as average onal cost per pupil, etc.), as well as other factors, in vhy some schools or systems that are similar in some chievement level.

to make some assumptions when comparing a system ory. For example, assume that system X has achieveat the State Norm (average). If system X is found in students' abilities and family incomes are low it can system X is doing an above average job of instructing ad fallen into Category 1 where both students' abilities gh, it is reasonable to assume that its students would perior range. Since, however, these students on the scores at the State Norm, the system may well be ch is below average. Bear in mind that inter-category

comparisons have not been made here; it is the system's relationship to its category which has been examined.

It should also be rem hered that the scores reported in Reports two, three and four are mean composite scores. The mean score represents the average score for a school or system. Consequently, any school or system would likely have individual students who score as high as or as low as some students in any other school or system. In other words, while Elementary School X may have a mean composite score of 69, some students in School X may score as high as 83 while others may score as low as 45.

This report is one of six volumes in which the Statewide Testing Program. results are found. This particular report contains categories for grade eleven. It does not present any in-depth analyzation of the results; nor should too many conclusions be drawn from this report alone. It should be read in conjunction with the other reports, with particular emphasis given to report six. That report will be concerned primarily with the analyzation of the Statewide Testing Program results.

FIGURE 1
THE DESCRIPTIVE MATRIX OF CATEGORIZATION OF SCHOOLS AND SYSTEM

P ABOV	RES	VERBAL SCO	CAT		Α	ΑC	
	83-Below	99-84	Above 100	11th Grade	8th Grade	4th Grade	System
	3	2	1	Above	Above	Above	Above
	6	5	4	400	300	150	9001
	9	8	7				
	12	11	10				
	15	14	13	399	299	149	9000
	18	17	16	to	to	to	to
	21	20	19	200	200	100	4001
	24	23	22			_	:
	27	26	25	199	199	99	4000
	30	29	28	to	to	to	to
	33	32	31	100	100	50	2001
	36	35	34				
	39	38	37	Below ·	Below	Below	Below
	42	41	40	99	99	49	2000
	45	44	43				
	48	47	46				



FIGURE 1
THE DESCRIPTIVE MATRIX OF CATEGORIZATION OF SCHOOLS AND SYSTEMS

D A		CAT	VERBAL SC	DRES	PERCENT OF STUDENTS ABOVE A MINIMAL SUBSISTENCE INCOME LEVEL
8th Grade	11th Grade	Above 100	99-84	83-Below	
Above	Above	1	2	3	99% - 81%
300	400	4	5	6	80% - 61%
		7	8	9	60% - 41%
		10	11	12	Below 41%
299	399	13	14	15	99% - 81%
to	to	16	17	18	80% - 61%
200	200	19	20	21	60% - 41%
		22	23	24	Below 41%
199	199	25	26	27	99% - 81%
to	to	28	29	30	80% - 61%
100	100	31	32	33	60% - 41%
		34	35	36	Below 41%
Below	Below	37	38	39	99% - 81%
99	99	40	41	42	80% - 61%
		43	44	45	60% - 41%
		46	47	48	Below 41%

ELEVENTH GRADE GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following are definitions of the terms and the types of results that will be found in this report.

Average Daily Attendance (ADA). The Average Daily Attendance indicates the average number of students who daily attend a school or system. The average daily attendance of a school grade is presumed for the purposes of this report to be the number of students who participate in the Statewide Testing Program. The ADA of systems was compiled by the Division of Planning, Research and Evaluation, Georgia Department of Education and should present an accurate ADA for systems for the 1971-72 school year. The number of students daily attending a school or system is an indicator of its size.

Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT). - The Cognitive Abilities Test provides a set of measures of the individual's ability to use and manipulate abstract and symbolic relationships (i.e., symbols representing words, and symbols representing spatial, geometric or figural patterns). Verbal and non-verual abilities of the students are reported as Standard Age Scores (SAS). An average SAS will fall above and below a score of 100, i.e., approximately 84 to 116. CAT

Mean. - Each category is defined by its mean or average composite ITBS score or average TAP score. This is computed by adding the scores of each student in all the schools in a particular category and dividing this sum by the total number of students in that category.

N. - In each category for the fourth, eighth and eleventh grade there is a heading "N". The numbers which fall under this heading correspond to the number of fourth, eighth and eleventh grade students who were tested.

Percentile Rank (Labeled 75 percent, 50 percent, 25 percent). - Each category is defined by the percentile ranks of its achievement scores. In this report the percentile ranks can be thought of as cut off points for obtained scores. For example, a score of 60.1 on the composite TAP score 1 as scon in Category 1 (eleventh grade) is the cut off point for the 75th percentile rank. This means that in this category all schools which obtained a composite TAP score 1 score at or above 60.1 were in the top 25 percent of that category. Similarly, in Category 1 all schools obtaining a score of 54.2 or better were in the top 50 percent of Category 1 and all schools receiving a score at or below 48.2 were in the bottom 25 percent of Category 1. In the system

categories percentile ranks for each categories percentile ranks for each categories eleventh grade scores. Keep in mind that utilized here, and one would expect to around the 50th percentile point. This is represents the average or midpoint score of

Percentage of ADA Students Above the M Third data is collected from the State Free dividing the number of ADA students relunches by the number of ADA students for free or reduced price lunches is detenumber of children in the family. At the accurate index of the socioeconomic stavailable, it is important to note that not as a determining factor but also the size accurate indicator of the economic states should also be cautioned that this data evaluating the eleventh grade. This is dueleventh graders who are eligible for the participate in it. However, there is little not be representative of the groups being

Standard Deviation or S. D. - Each of deviation from the mean (average) achievation can be thought of as a measure of scores used to compute the mean (average the spread of scores around the average.

Tests of Academic Progress (TAP). The concerned with measuring the extent to of high school instruction have been as Georgia Statewide Testing Program are citics. On the category report the subtests matics correspond to composite TAP score of the composite TAP sco

ons of

nce (/ f stud ce of number ADA

d Eva

urate

ts dail

(CA) lividua (i.e., s ric or orted ow a so

core. ols in dents if

bers w h and

is de

ed 75 perce nks ca core o

ade) i catego re 60. all so of Cate



GLOSSARY OF TERMS

hat to

is is

re d

e M

Fred

ts r

ents

det

the

: st

not

iize

stat

Ita :

s du

r t

tle

ing

h c

chie

e of

erag

· TH

to

n ad

re d

ests

sca

. In

Obt

je.

ons of the terms and the types of results that will be

ince (ADA). - The Average Daily Attendance indicates f students who daily attend a school or system. The ice of a school grade is presumed for the purposes of number of students who participate in the Statewide ADA of systems was compiled by the Division of d Evaluation, Georgia Department of Education, and urate ADA for systems for the 1971-72 school year. Its daily attending a school or system is an indicator of

(CAT). - The Cognitive Abilities Test provides a set ividual's ability to use and manipulate abstract and (i.e., symbols representing words, and symbols representing or figural patterns). Verbal and non-verbal abilities orted as Standard Age Scores (SAS). An average SAS wascore of 100, i.e., approximately 84 to 116. CAT

is defined by its mean or average composite ITBS core. This is computed by adding the scores of each ols in a particular category and dividing this sum by tents in that category.

for the fourth, eighth and eleventh grade there is a bers which fall under this heading correspond to the h and eleventh grade students who were tested.

ed 75 percent, 50 percent, 25 percent). - Each catepercentile ranks of its achievement scores. In this
nks can be thought of as cut off points for obtained
core of 60.1 on the composite TAP score 1 as seen in
ade) is the cut off point for the 75th percentile rank,
category all schools which obtained a composite TAP
re 60.1 were in the top 25 percent of that category,
all schools obtaining a score of 54.2 or better were
of Category 1 and all schools receiving a score at or
bottom 25 percent of Category 1. In the system

Legories percentile ranks for each category are given for fourth, eighth and eleventh grade scores. Keep in mind that category percentile ranks are being utilized here, and one would expect to find that most of the schools fall around the 50th percentile point. This is because the 50th percentile point represents the average or midpoint score of the category.

Percentage of ADA Students Above the Minimal Subsistence Income Level.

This data is collected from the State Free Lunch Program. It is computed by dividing the number of ADA students not receiving free or reduced price lunches by the number of ADA students in the school or system. Eligibility for free or reduced price lunches is determined by family inhome and the number of children in the family. At the present time this data is the most accurate index of the socioeconomic status of a school or system that is available. It is important to note that not only is the income of families used as a determining factor but also the size of the family. Thus we have a more accurate indicator of the economic status of the family unit. The reader should also be cautioned that this data may not be entirely accurate when evaluating the eleventh grade. This is due to the fact that a number of the eleventh graders who are eligible for the free lunch program decline to participate in it. However, there is little reason to believe that the data will not be representative of the groups being tested.

Standard Deviation or S. D. - Each category is defined by its standard deviation from the mean (average) achievement score. The standard deviation can be thought of as a measure of dispersion or variability of all the scores used to compute the mean (average). The larger the S. D. the greater the spread of scores around the average.

Tests of Academic Progress (TAP). - The Tests of Academic Progress are concerned with measuring the extent to which the objectives of a basic area of high school instruction have been achieved. The areas included in the Georgia Statewide Testing Program are composition, reading and mathematics. On the category report the subtests of composition, reading and mathematics correspond to composite TAP score 1, composite TAP score 2 and composite TAP score 3 respectively. In each of these subtests an average eleventh grader would be expected to obtain a standard score of 50.

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

CATEGORY 1 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 99

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TA	P SCORE 2	COMPOSI
S.D. 75th % 50th %	54.1 8.8 60.1 54.2 48.2	MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	54.2 8.9 6C.3 54.2 48.3	M_A S•0 73T 53T 23T
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE AVERA CAT TAP VERBAL		AVERAGE % TAP 3 ABOV SUBSI
WHEELEK HENDERSON HIGH SCHOO PEACHTREE HIGH WARNER ROBINS HS	COBB DEKALB DEKALB HOUSTON	107.4 52. 110.9 56. 110.7 56. 101.8 51.	7 56.4 3 56.1	52.9 59.7 56.3 51.0

CATEGORY 1 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 99

03 r	Р	SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	(COMPOSITE TAR	SCOKE	5
4_ 4		54.1	ME	EAN	54.2		M_AN	54.9	
S.D		8.8	S	D •	8.9		S.D.	9.2	
73T		60.1	7:	5TH %	60.3		75TH %	60.8	
5 :T		54.2	50	TH %	54.2		53TH %	55.2	
25 T	-	48.2	25	5TH %	48.3		25TH %	48.8	
Z.	į	SYSTEM NAME	A VERAGE	AVĒRAGI	5	4 V S D A C F	w etues.	17.0	
3.0A		STSTETT MARK	CAT	TAP 1	E AVLRAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	ABOVE WIND		N
331			VERBAL	INC 1	IAF Z	TAP 3	ABOVE MINI SUBSISTANCE		
		COBB	167.4	52.4	53.1	52.9	99		483
	00) DEKALB	110.9	56 . 7	. 56.4	59.7	99		415
		JEKALB	110.7	56.3	56.1	26.8	99		၁22
		HOUSTON	101.8	51.3	51.7	51.0	97		494

CATEGORY 2 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

COMPO

% % %

\IO

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

S.D. 75TH % 5JTH %	47.4 9.4 53.7 47.1 40.8	S • 75 50	D. 5TH % 1TH %	47.7 9.9 54.2 47.8 40.8		5
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VENBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	AE SUE
CHEROKLE HIGH FOREST PARK SENIOR JONESBURO SENIOR HI MOULTRIE SR H S DOUGHERTY HIGH DOUGLAS COUNTY HIGH GLYNN ACADEMY NORTHSIDE HS LOWNDES HIGH BAKER HIGH SCHOOL KENDRICK ACADEMY RICHMOND CTY BUILER GRIFFIN HIGH	CHEROKEE CLAYTUN CLAYTUN COLUUITT BOUGHERTY DOUGLAS GLYNN CO SCHO HOUSTON LOWNDES MUSCOGEE MUSCOGEE RICHMOND RICHMOND SPALDING	95.1 96.6 97.9 93.4 95.1 95.8 96.3 92.2 96.9 95.7 98.0 97.5 96.6	47.3 46.6 46.1 45.0 48.1 47.8 49.3 44.7 48.0 47.4 49.8	47.3 49.0 46.6 45.8 48.2 48.0 49.1 45.2 48.1 47.3 49.5	46.0 48.3 49.6 47.6 45.6 48.1 45.6 47.3 48.6 47.3 48.6 48.6	

LOMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 2 GRADE 11

IPO

M S 7 5

AB UB TAP SCORE 1

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

% 5 % 4	9.4 9.4 53.7 9.1 90.8	S• 7 5 50	D. 5TH % 5	•7•7 9•9 54•2 •7•8 •0•8		MEAN 47.4 S.D. 9.3 75TH % 53.9 50TH % 47.0 25TH % 41.7	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
√IOR LR HI	CHEROKEE CLAYYUN CLAYTGN	95.1 96.6 97.9	47 • 3 46 • 6 46 • 6	47.3 49.0 46.6	46.0 48.3 49.6	96 95 96	406 575 420
\$	COLQUITT DOUGHERTY DOUGLAS	93.4 95.1 95.8	46.1 45.0 48.1	45.7 ° 46.8 48.2	47.4 45.6 46.3	81 87 98	432 401 486
HIGH	GLYNN CO SCHO HOUSTON LOWNDES	96.3 98.5 92.2	47.8 49.3 44.7	48.0 49.1 45.2	48.3 48.1 45.6	92 94 84	422 427 517
0 0L	MUSCOGEE MUSCOGEE	96.9 95.7 98.0	48.0 47.4 49.8	48.1 47.3 49.5	46.6 47.3 48.2	95 92 83	444 410 412
MD CTY	RICHMOND RICHMOND SPALDING	97.5 96.6	48.9 49.0	48.0 49.2	48.6 48.2	89 98	407 429

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2 COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

CATEGORY 3 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 4 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY



CATEGORY 5 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPOSITE TAR	SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE	RE 1
. MEAN	45.5	ME	AN	46.9		MEAN	5
S.D.	9.3	S.	D •	10.2		S.D.	3
75TH %	51.2	79	5TH %	53.2		75TH 7	2
50TH %	44.6	50	TH %	46.5		50TH	5
25TH %	39.0	25	TH %	39.3		2 <i>5</i> TH	D
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	E AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% ST ABOVE SUBSIST	STEN
JENKINS H V HIGH	CHATHAM	93.3	45.5	46.9	44.9		ATHA

CATEGORY 6 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY



CATEGORY 5
GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

5	ME	AN	46.9		MEAN	44.9	
3		-	10.2		S.D.	9.5	
2			53.2		75 T H %	50.J	
5			46.5		50TH %	45.0	
0	29	TH %	39.3		25TH %	38.3	
ı I							
TEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDE ABOVE MIN SUBSISTANC	IMAL	N
ATHAM	93.3	45.5	46.9	44.9	69		435

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

CATEGORY 6
GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE
CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84
INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY



RE 1

CATEGORY 7 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 8 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 9 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 11 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 12 GRADE 11

N 400 OR MORE CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY



CATEGORY 13 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

2

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE
MEAN	52.1	MEAN	52.6
S.D.	9•5	S.D.	9.6
75TH %	58.8	751H %	59.2
50TH %	52.1	50TH %	52.9
25 TH %	45.8	25TF %	46-3

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
NORTHSLDE	ATLANTA	106.6	52.2	53.9	51.8
CAMPSELL	C083	105.2	51.8	53.0	52.5
MCEACHERN HIGH	COEB	101.1	50.9	51.3	50.5
R L OSJORNE SK HIGH	C0B3	101.1	50.2	49.9	50.6
WILLS HIGH SCHOOL	COBJ	103.7	52.5	52. 2	52.6
JOOHOS HIGH SCHOOL	DALTON CITY	100.5	49.8	50.9	50.1
AVONJALE	DEKALB	101.4	49.6	50.7	1 • 0ز
BRIARCLIFF HIGH	DEKALB	110.7	55•2	54.5	56.0
CHAMBLLE HIGH CHOOL	DEKALB	107.3	52.6	54.2	54.0
CLARKSION HIGH	Ð∈KAL⊍	103.7	49.8	50.9	50.7
COLUMBIA H S	DEKALB	106.0	51.9	53.2	53.5
CROSS KEYS HÏGH	JEKALB	105.2	51.3	52.0	52.1
DRUIJ HILLS HIGH	DEKALB	114.3	56.5	56.3	56.7
LAKESIUE HIGH	DEKALB	113.6	57.4	57.1	59.6
SEQUOYAH HIGH SCHOOL	DEKALB	106.3	51.6	53.1	52.6
SHAMKOUK DEKALE	DEKALB	106.6	52.6	52.6	53.0
SOUTHWEST DEKALB HIG	DEKALB	106.9	51.5	51.7	52.1
TOWERS	DEKALB	103.5	50.8	52.1	50.7
TUCKER HI SCHOOL	DËKALB	107.6	53.2	53.6	54.2
WALKER HIGH	DEKALB	101.5	50.0	49.5	50.1

CATEGORY 13 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE	3
% % %	52.1 9.5 50.8 52.1 45.8	S	D. 5TH % DTH %	52.6 9.6 59.2 52.9 46.3		MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	52.6 9.7 59.1 53.2 46.1	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3		MAL	N
	ATLANTA	106.6	52.2	53.9	51.8	93		239
	COBB	105.2	51.8	53.0	52.5	99		246
	COEB	101.1	50.9	51.3	50.5	95		223
HIGH	C0B3	101.1	50.2	49.9	50.6	99		351
) L	COBJ	103.7	52.5	52.2	52.6	97		210
DOF	DALTON CITY	100.5	49.8	50.9	50.1	98		235
	DEKALB	101.4	49.6	50.7	50.1	90		249
_	DEKALB	110.7	55.2	54.5	56.0	99		276
CHOOL	. DEKALB	107.3	52.6	54.2	54.0	99		265
	DCKALU	103.7	49.8	50.9	50.7	94		315
	DEKALB	106.0	51.9	53.2	53.5	94		372
	DEKALB	105.2	51.3	52.0	52.1	91		280
Н	DEKALB	114.3	56.5	56.3	56.7	89		209
0.100.	DEKALB	113.6	57.4	57.7	59 . 6	99		358
CHOOL	. DEKALB	106.3	51.6	53.1	52.6	99 97		2 74 2 7 0
	DEKALB	106.6	52.6	52.6	53.0	99		270 328
R HIG	DEKALD	106.9	51.5	51.7	52 • 1	99		343
	DEKALB	103.5	50 • 8	52•1 53•6	50•7 54•2	99		376
DOL	DEKALB	107.6	53.2 50.0	93•6 49•5	50 • 1	96		280
•	DEKALB	101.5	20 • 0	43.0	20 • T	90		200

CATEGORY 13 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

SYS

FOR FUL FUL GAI MAR MUS RIC

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% ABOV Izguz
FORSYTH COUNTY HIGH NORTH SPRINGS H S RIDGEVIEW HIGH SCHOO GAINESVILLE HIGH CH MARIETTA HI H HARDAWAY HIGH SCHOOL WESTSIJE HIGH SCHOOL	GAINESVILLE MARIETTA MUSCOGEL	100.6 108.2 110.0 102.3 102.4 101.1	50.3 54.3 54.6 50.5 51.8 51.6 53.3	50.5 54.7 55.4 51.9 51.5 51.5	48.9 56.2 55.3 51.3 51.6 50.4 52.4	

CATEGORY 13 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
FORSYTH	100.6	50.3	50.5	48.9	97	209
FORSYTH	108.2	54.3	54.7	56.2	99	241
FULTON		54.6	55.4	55.3	99	202
FULTON	110.0	50.5	51.9	51.3	94	213
GAINESVILLE	102.3	51.8	51.5	51.6	85	274
MARIETTA	102.4		51.5	50.4	86	359
MUSCOGEE	101.1 104.4	51.6 53.3	52.9	52.4	89	253



CATEGORY 14 . GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 31 TO 99

COMPOSITE	TAP SCORE 1	COMPUSITE TAP	SCORE 2	COM
HEAN	47.3	MEAN	47.2	•
S.D.	9.6	S.D.	10.1	
75TH	% 54.0	75TH %	53.7	
50TH	% 46.8	50TH %	47.0	
25TH	· · · · · · · ·	25TH %	40.1	

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
APPLING COUNTY HIGH	APPLING	89.6	43.8	43.8	44.4
BALDWIN HIGH SCHOOL	BALDHIN	90.6	45.0	41.9	44.5
WINDER BARROW	BARROW	94.8	47.5	46.9	46.7
CASS HIGH	BARTON	94.6	46.0	46.0	44.7
CALHOUN HIGH	CALHOUN CITY	99.3	50.3	49.7	48.8
CAMDEN COUNTY HIGH	CAMDEN	90.6	43 • 1	44.2	43.6
LAKEVILH HIGH SCHOOL	CATOOSA	99.6	50.1	50.1	47.3
RINGGOLD HIGH SCHOOL	CATOOSA	95.9	46.7	46.9	45.2
TOMPKINS HIGH	CHATHAM	89.8	43.4	44.7	42.6
CEDAR SHOALS HIGH	CLARKE	96.5	48.4	47.4	49.2
CLARKE CENTRAL	CLARKE	97.0	49.3	48.3	50.9
MORROW SR HIGH	CLAYTON	95.3	46.8	47.7	46.5
NORTH CLAYTON SR HI	CLAYTON	97.8	45.7	47.8	47.4
NORTH COBB HIGH SCHO	COPB	99.7	49.2	49.3	48.7
PEBBLEJROOK	COBB	97.6	48.6	49.5	47.2
SOUTH CO3B H	COBB	98.4	47.9	47.9	48.5
COFFEE SCHOOL	COFFEE	91.2	45.7	44.8	46.6
EVANS HIGH SCHOOL	COLUMBIA	95.3	48.7	48.8	48.3
NEHNAN HIGH SCHOOL	CONETA	96 • 4	47.2	48.4	46.8
DECATUR HIGH SCHOOL	DECATUR CITY	94.5	46.9	47.7	47.6



CATEGORY 14 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

9	9.6 54.0 6.8 0.5	· 79	.D. 1 5TH % 5 DTH % 4	7.2 0.1 3.7 7.0 0.1		MEAN 47.0 S.D. 9.5 75TH % 53.8 50TH % 46.5 25TH % 40.3	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
GH GH	APPLING	89.6	43.8	43.8	44.4	84	2 5 0
bL	BALDWIN	90.6	45.0	41.9	44.5	95	298
	BARROW	94.8	47.5	46.9	46.7	90	210
	BARTOW	94.6	46.0	46.0	44.7	89	213
	CALHOUN CITY	99.3	50.3	49.7	48.8	99	240
н	CAMDEN	90.6	43.1	44.2	43.6	82	250
OOL	CATOOSA	99.6	50.1	50.1	47.3	98	223
OOL	CATOOSA	95.9	46.7	46.9	45.2	99	204
	CHATHAM	89.8	43.4	44.7	42.6	83	205
	CLARKE	96.5	48.4	47.4	49.2	88	299
	CLARKE	97.0	49.3	48.3	50.9	97	324
	CLAYTON	95.3	46.8	47.7	46.5	93	2 92
ΗI	CLAYTON	97.8	45.7	47.8	47.4	98	339
СНО	COBB	99.,	49.2	49.3	48.7	98	293
	COBB	97.6	48.6	49.5	47.2	99	245
ļ	COBB	98.4	47.9	47.9	48.5	98	361
L	COFFEE	91.2	45.7	44.8	46.6	85	306
[COLUMBIA	95.3	48.7	48.6	48.3	89	203
L	COWETA	96 • 4	47.2	48.4	46.8	91	299
QL	DECATUR CITY	94.5	46.9	47.7	47.6	83	205

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2 COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3



AP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 14 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL		AVERAGE TAP 2	
ALBANY HIGH	DOUGHERTY	99.9	47.7	49.3	48.7
	EFFINGHAM	93.3	46.5	47.1	46.5
ELBERT COUNTY H S		89.5		43.8	44.4
FRANKLIN CO HIGH SCH		95 • 4	46.4	48.1	47.2
BRUNHWICK HIGH SUH		94.4	46.8	47.5	46 • 4
JERKMAR HIGH SCHOOL		99.5	49.3	5ù•0	48 • 4
SOUTH GWINNETT	GWINNETT	97.3	48.0	48.5	49.1
HABERSHAM CENTRAL HS		98.5	48.9	48.4	49.0
JOHNSON HIGH	HALL	93.9	46.9	47.1	45.7
HART COUNTY HIGH		91.7	44.4	44.8	44.8
PERRY HIGH		90.3	45.3	45.1	44.9
LAGRANGE HIGH		96.5	49.5	48.5	48.6
BRADWELL INSTITUTE		9 • 3	46.5	44.9	44.9
MADISON COUNTY HIGH		9ა • 0	49.2	48.1	47.3
CARVER HIGH	MUSCOGEE	95.2	47.6		46 • მ
COLUMBUS HIGH SCHOOL		97.4	50.3	49.1	48.7
JORDAN HIGH SCHOOL	MUSCOGEE	91.2	45.3	45.5	45 • 5
PAULDING CO HIGH	PAULDING	96 • 8	50 • 2	49.0	48.8
ROCKUALE COUNTY H S	ROCKDALE	96.0	49.3	48.4	48.6
EAST ROME HIGH	ROME	90.5	45 • 4	45.2	46.1
STEPHENS COUNTY HIGH		96•3	48.0	47.6	47.1
R E LEE INSTITUTE		93.8	49.4	47.2	47.6
TIFT COUNTY HIGH	TIFT	92.0	46.8	45.7	46.5
WARE SLNIQR HIGH	WARE .	96.7	48.3	47.4	47.6

CATEGORY 14 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
	DOUGHERTY	99•9	47.7	49.3	48.7	94	282
	EFFINGHAM	93.3	46.5	47.1	46.5	83	212
	ELBERT	89.5	44.0	43.8	44.4	93	257
Н		95 • 4	46 • 4	48.1	47.2	93	212
["	GLYNN CO SCHO	94 • 4	46.8	47.5	46.4	83	370
	GWINNETT	99.5	49.3	5ù•0	48.4	99	2 70
Γ	GWINNETT	97.3	48 • O	48.5	49.1	99	251
HS		98.5	48.9	48.4	49.0	98	297
	HALL	93.9	46.9	47.1	45.7	97	296
	HART CO	91.7	44.4	44.8	44.8	92	205
l	HUUSTON	90.3	45.3	45.1	44.9	85	216
	LAGRANGE	96.5	49.5	48.5	48.6	86	310
	LIBERTY	9.3	46.5	44.9	44.9	90	230
k	MADISON	90 • 0	49.2	48.1	47.3	89	200
	MUSCOGEE	95.2	47.6	47.0	46∙3	87	214
bL.	MUSCOGEE	97.4	50.3	49.1	48.7	89	358
	MUSCOGEE	91.2	45.3	45.5	45.5	83	378
	PAULDING	96.8	50.2	49.0	48.8	89	240
5	KOCKDALE	96 • 0	49.3	48.4	48.6	87	ა02
	ROME	90.5	45.4	45.2	46.1	93	214
ьн	STEPHENS	96.3	48 • O	47.6	47.1	93	253
	THOMASTON	93 • 8	49.4	47.2	47.6	87	233
	TIFT	92.0	46.8	45.7	46.5	85	397
	WARE	96.7	48.3	47.4	47.6	92	207

CATEGORY 15 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 16 GRADE 11

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPO

TAF

MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	49.7 10.6 57.8 49.3 41.6	S 79 51	D• 1 5TH % 5 0TH % 4	7.7.7 .0.1 .3.6 .7.3		y. 13 7 15 2
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	SUB AB
CEDARTOWN HIGH	POLK	100.0	49.7	47.7	45.8	

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 15 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 16 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 60

y 5 7 5 2	49.7 10.6 57.8 49.3 41.6	S. 75 50	AN D. TH % TH %	47.7 10.1 53.6 47.3 40.8		MEAN 45.8 S.D. 8.5 75TH % 51.3 5JTH % 46.0 25TH % 40.0	
AB UB	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
	POLK	100.0	49.7	47.7	45.8	69	264

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2 COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

MPO

TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 17 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

COMPOSI

ORE

YST

TLA 168 ULL HAT HAT HAT HAT HAT DECA **00**0 pouc RAG HEN HCD MUS NËW. SCRI HO HO HAY

S.D. 75TH % 5 50TH % 4	5.3 9.9 1.5 4.5 8.6	S• 75 50	D. 1 5TH % 5 TH % 4	5.3 0.1 2.5 4.4 8.3		MEA S • D 751 501 251
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% ABOV Subsi
DOUGLASS HIGH SCHOOL SOUTHWEST MCEVOY B STATESBORO HIGH SCHO BEACH SENIOR HI GROVES HIGH JOHNSON HIGH SAVANNAH HIGH WINDSOR FOREST HIGH BAINBRIDGE HIGH SCHO DODGE JOUNTY HIGH SC WESTOVER HIGH CAIRO HIGH CHOOL HENRY JOUNTY SE HIGH THOMSON HIGH SCHOOL SPENCER HIGH SCHOOL NEWTON COUNTY HIGH SCREVEN COUNTY HIGH CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL THOMASVILLE HIGH WAYCROSS HIGH SCHOOL	BIBB BULLOCH CHATHAM CHATHAM CHATHAM CHATHAM DECATUR DODGE DOUGHERTY GRADY	86.7 94.5 95.3 89.9 96.6 98.3 88.9 98.1 86.5 91.7 89.7 90.6	41.0 48.9 46.67 47.0	41.0 47.0 47.0 47.0 43.0 43.0 43.0 43.0 43.0 43.0 43.0 44.0 45.0 45.0 45.0 45.0	42.5 44.8 46.7 43.8 46.7 44.0 48.8 44.0 48.8 49.6 45.1 45.1 45.2 45.2 45.7	

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 17 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

•3 •9 •5 •5	50	D. 10 TH % 52 TH % 44	5.3 1.1 2.5 1.4 3.3		MEAN 44.9 S.D. 9.3 75TH % 50.8 50TH % 44.8 25TH % 38.3	
YSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
TLANTA	86.7	41.0	41.5	42.5	73	314
IBB	94.5	48.5	47.0	44.5	71	388
ULLOCH	95.2	46.9	47.5	46.7	66	255
HATHAM	93.3	45.6	46.2	44.8	71	348
HATHAM	89.9	43.7	43.5	43.8	77	201
HATHAM	96.5	46.9	48.5	46.6	65	211
HATHAM	95.6	47.7	47.4	46.6	63	376
HATHAM	98.5	49.0	49.1	47.7	77	268
DECATUR	90.3	44.9	44.4	44 • 5	79	300
ODGE	88.8	43.9	43.2	44.0	69	229
OUGHERTY	97.0	47.0	47.7	48.3	77	341
RADY	88.1	43.7	43.8	43.8	66	264 236
HENRY	86.5	43.2	43.7	42.9	77	200
ACDUFFIE	89.9	45.2	45.1	44.6	75	200 2 19
MUSCOGEE	94.1	46.2	46.1	45.7	66	380
NEWTON	91.7	45.9	46.2	45.1	78	253
SCREVEN	84.7	40.8	40.9	42.3	62	
THOMAS	89.7	45.3	45 • 4	45.3	63	255
HOMASVILLE HAYCROSS	90 • 2 90 • 6	43.9 45.2	45.3 45.5	45 • 2 43 • 7	6 5 72	290 288

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2 COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3



ORE 1

CATEGORY 17 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

S

SC

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE: TAP 3
WAYNE CO HIGH	WAYNE	91 • 7	45.5	44.9	45.1
WORTH COUNTY HIGH	WORTH	86.4	43.8	43 • u	43.0

CATEGORY 18 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPUSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 2
MEAN	38.4	MEAN	38.2
S.D.	8.1	S.D.	8.0
75 TH %	43.6	75TH %	43.3
50TH %	38.9	50TH %	37.6
25TH %	33.5	25TH %	32.3

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
C L HARPER HIGH	ATLANTA	83.6	39.4	38.8	38.4
MURPHY HIGH SCHOOL	ATLANTA	82.3	37.1	37.4	38.8

CATEGORY 19 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 20 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TA	P SCORE 2	COMPC
MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	46.0 10.0 52.2 45.8 38.7	MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	45.9 9.9 52.8 45.5 38.8	5 . 0 . 0 7 . 2 . 4 5 . 1 2 . 3 . 7
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE AVERA CAT TAP VERBAL		AVERAGE SYS
CRISP LO HIGH SWAINSBORO HIGH FITZGERALD HIGH VALDOSTA HIGH	CRISP EMANUEL FITZGERLD VALDOSTA	88.6 43. 90.2 45. 93.4 46. 93.9 48.	1 45.2 7 46.0	43.6 44.3 46.1 46.0 VAI

CATEGORY 19 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 20 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TA	P SCORE 2	COMPC
MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	46.0 10.0 52.2 45.8 38.7	MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	45.9 9.9 52.8 45.5 38.8	5 . 0 . 0 7 . 2 . 4 5 . 1 2 . 3 . 7
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE AVERA CAT TAP VERBAL		AVERAGE SYS
CRISP LO HIGH SWAINSBORO HIGH FITZGERALD HIGH VALDOSTA HIGH	CRISP EMANUEL FITZGERLD VALDOSTA	88.6 43. 90.2 45. 93.4 46. 93.9 48.	1 45.2 7 46.0	43.6 44.3 46.1 46.0 VAI

CATEGORY 19 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 20 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

CORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TAR	SCORE	3
0.0			45.9		MEAN	45.1	
.0.0							
52 •2	75	TH %	52.8				
•5 • 8	50	TH %	45.5		50TH %	43.4	
88.7	25	5TH %	38.8		25TH %	38.4	
SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	E AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	ABOVE MIN	IMAL	N
CRISP	88.6	43.6	43.9	43.6	59		310
EMANUEL	90.2	45.1	45.2	44.3	58		210
		46.7	46.0	46.1	52		227
VALDOSTA	93 • 9						378
	SYSTEM NAME CRISP EMANUEL FITZGERLD	0.0 ME 0.0 S. 2.2 79 5.8 50 88.7 29 SYSTEM NAME AVERAGE CAT VERBAL CRISP 88.6 EMANUEL 90.2 FITZGERLD 93.4	### AN	NEAN	## MEAN 45.9 0.0 S.D. 9.9 2.2 75TH % 52.8 5.8 50TH % 45.5 88.7 25TH % 38.8 SYSTEM NAME AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 TAP 2 TAP 3 VERBAL CRISP 88.6 43.6 43.9 43.6 EMANUEL 90.2 45.1 45.2 44.3 FITZGERLD 93.4 46.7 46.0 46.1	MEAN 45.9 MEAN MEAN	MEAN 45.9 MEAN 45.1

CATEGORY 21 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

SC

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 2	COMPO
MEAN	39.3	MEAN	38.9	8
S.D.	8.6	S.D.	8.3	8
75TH %	44.2	75TH %	43.6	7
50TH %	38.8	50TH %	38.5	9
25TH %	33.8 System Name	25TH % AVERAGE AVERAG CAT TAP 1 VERBAL		AVERAGE TAP 3 AB Sub
WASHINGTON HIGH	ATLANTA	81.3 38.1	39.3	39•5
MONROE HIGH	DOUGHERTY	78.9 38.7		40•1
WASHINGTON CO HIGH	WASHINGTON	82.3 41.0		42•9

CATEGORY 22-GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY



CATEGORY 21 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE	3
39.3	Mé	AN	38.9		HEAN	40.8	
8.6	S	.D.	8.3		S.O.	7.8	
44.2	79	5TH %	43.6		75TH %	45.3	
38.8	50	TH %	38.5		50TH %	40.4	
33.8	25	5TH %	32.9		25TH %	35.1	
SVETCH NAME	Aurdace	ANCOACE	* AUCDACE	4450465	" 67.1105.11	- 0	
SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat	AVERAGE TAP 1	E AVERAGE Tap 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	STUDEN' ABOVE HINI		N
	VERBAL	TAP 1	IAP 2	IAP 3	SUBSISTANCE		
ATLANTA	81.3	38.1	37.7	39.5	59		274
DOUGHERTY	78.9	38.7	39.3	40.1	60		236
WASHINGTON	82.3	41.0	39.8	42.9	43		256

CATEGORY 22-GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY



MPd

AB Sub

CATEGORY 23 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

COMPOS

			- 4.44	2.2		
HEAN	42.6			2.2		Па
S.D.	9.1			9.8		S
75TH %	48.5	79	5TH % 4	8.4		79
50TH %	42.3	50	OTH % 4	1.3		50
25TH %	35.6	25	5TH % 3	4.8		25
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEH NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	, 40/
		CAT Verbal	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	SUBS
T W JOSEY	RICHHOND	84.8	42.6	42.2	41.2	

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1



CATEGORY 23 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

E 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	c	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE	3
•	ME	EAN	42.2		MEAN	41.2	
•	S	D•	9.8		S.D.	8.9	
•	75	5TH %	48.4		75TH %	47.1	
		TH %	41.3		50TH %	42.1	
•		5TH %	34.8		25TH %	34.7	
TEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	E AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDEN	τs	N
	CAT VERBAL	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE HINI SUBSISTANCE		
HHOND	84.8	42.6	42.2	41.2	20		207

CATEGORY 24 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399
CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84
INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

TA

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 2	COMP
MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	36.8 8.3 42.3 36.7 30.6	MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	36.9 7.8 41.1 35.9 31.4	
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 VERBAL	E AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3 A SU
LANEY	RICHMUND	77.2 36.8	36.9	38.3

CATEGORY 24 GRADE 11

N 200 TO 399 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

)MP

A SU

TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE T	AP SCORE	3
	36.8	MEAN	36.9		MEAN	38.3	
	8.3	. S.D.	7.8		S.D.	6.8	
%	42.3	75TH %	41.1	•	75TH %	42.3	
/	36.7	50TH %	35.9		50TH %	38.1	
	30.6	25TH %	31.4		25TH %	33.4	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE AVERAG	E AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUD	ENTS	N
		CAT TAP 1 Verbal	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE MI	NIMAL	
	RI CHMUND	77. 36.8	36.9	38.3	22		2 91

CATEGORY 25 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

S

GH

H00 00L

оог ог ноо

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 2	COM
MEAN	53.0	
S.D.	9.2	
75TH %	59.1	
50TH %	52.9	
25TH %	46.8	
	MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH %	S.D. 9.2 75TH % 59.1 50TH % 52.9

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
NQRTH FULTON W F DYKES CARTERSVILLE HIGH STONE MOUNTAIN HIGH WEST FANNIN H S BRIARWOOD HEADLAND HIGH SCHOOL LAKESHORE HIGH SCHOO M D COLLINS HIGH RIVERWOOD HIGH SCHOOL SANDY SPRINGS HIGH WESTWOOD HIGH SCHOOL	FULTON FULTON FULTON FULTON FULTON	108.2 111.7 100.1 103.8 100.0 105.6 105.1 103.1 101.3 112.4 106.0 109.1	53.6 55.7 48.1 51.1 49.9 52.9 53.2 50.6 49.4 56.9 52.5 55.9	54.9 56.4 49.8 51.2 51.3 53.4 53.3 51.5 50.2 57.1 52.5 57.0	55.4 56.5 50.9 51.2 47.6 51.9 53.0 50.4 50.8 59.0 53.4 56.8 51.4

CATEGORY 25 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

AP	SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE	3
	52.3	ME	EAN	53.0		MEAN	53.0	
	9.0		D.	9.2		S.D.	10.0	
	58.5			59.1		75TH %	59.5	
	51.7			52.9		50TH %	53.6	
	46.4			46.8		25TH %	46.3	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDEN	τS	N
	3131FIL WHILE	CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE MINI		
		VERBAL				SUBSISTANCE	LEVEL	
	ATLANTA	108.2	53.6	54.9	55.4	99		173
	ATLANTA	111.7	55.7	56.4	56.5	99		164
	CARTERSVILLE	100.1	48.1	49.8	50.9	82		182
GH	DEKALB	103.8	51.1	51.2	51.2	89		166
	FANNIN	100.0	49.9	51.3	47.6	97		134
	FULTON	105.6	52.9	53.4	51.9	99		148
OOL	FULTON	105.1	53.2	53.3	53.0	99		193
	FULTON	103.1	50.6	51.5	50.4	99		187
	FULTON	101.3	49.4	50.2	50.8	99		199
нос	FULTON	112.4	56.9	57.1	59. 0	99		174
OL	FULTON	106.0	52.5	52.5	53.4	99		192
H	FULTON	109.1	55.9	57. 0	56.8	99		127
	FULTON	102.2	51.7	51.2	51.4	99		113

CATEGORY 26 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

% %

IG

СН CCI

н :

S

СНО

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP S	SCORE 2	С	OMP
MEAN	47.2		- • • • •	7.3		
S•0•	9•4	S		9.5		3
75TH %	53.7	79		3.3		3
50TH %	46.8	50	3TH % 4	.7.3		3
	40.7	25	5TH % 4	+0.8		1
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGÉ	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	
305522		CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	A
		VERBAL				SU
HENRY GRADY	ATLANTA	95.0	43.1		45.9	
SOUTHWEST	ATLANTA	89.5	42.4	43.2	42.1	
SYLVAN HILLS HIGH	ATLANTA	97.2	45.1		47.4	
THERRELL	ATLANTA	93.7	45.2	46.0	45.7	
W F GEORGE	ATLANTA	95.6	46.8	47.2	47.2	
BERRIEN HIGH SCHOOL	_	92.8	47.6	46.4	47 • 2	
SOUTHEAST BULLOCH SE		90.1	45.1	45.6	46.4	
CENTRAL HIGH	CARROLL	95.4	47.4	47.6	45.4	
VILLA RICA	CARROLL	94.4	47.4	48.4	46.2	
CARROLLTON HIGH SCHO		99.0	49.5	49.4	49.2	
CHARLTON COUNTY HIGH		91.5	46.0	45.4	45.3	
CHATTOOGA HIGH SCHOO	CHATTOOGA COU	96.2	47.7		47.1	
COMMERCE HIGH SCHOOL		92.6	47.3		46.5	
FAYETTE COUNTY HIGH		98.2	48.6		47.6	
INTELLE COOKER HITCH					4.7.0	1

99.8

95.7

98.5

93.7

96.7

92.6

FLOYD COUNTY

FLOYD COUNTY

FLOYD COUNTY

FULTON

FULTON

FULTON

COOSA HIGH

MODEL HIGH

PEPPERELL HIGH

MILTON HIGH SCHOOL

CAMPBELL HIGH

PALMETTO HIGH

49.2

48.9

50.1

46.7

48.6

45.6

48.8

46.9

49.8

46.8

48.5

46.5

47,9

45.8

47.4

44.3

40.5

44.7

CATEGORY 26 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

47.3

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

MEAN

MP

A SU

E TAP SCORE 1

47.2

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

46.7

MEAN

	+/• <	FIL	YA.	• / • 3		11011	
	9.4	S	D •	9.5		S.D. 9.1	
% !	53.7	79	5TH % !	53.3		75TH % 53.0	
	+6.8	50	TH %	47. 3		50TH % 46.3	
	40.7	25	5TH %	40.8		25TH % 40.4	
1	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDENTS	N
		CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE MINIMAL	
		VERBAL				SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	
	ATLANTA	95.0	43.1	46.3	45.9	85	124
[ATLANTA	89.5	42.4	43.2	42.1	97	188
IGH	ATLANTA	97.2	45.1	45.2	47 • 4	85	143
2011	ATLANTA	93.7	45.2	46.0	45.7	· 91	198
	ATLANTA	95.6	46.8	47.2	47.2	85	139
CHOOL	BERRIEN	92.8	47.6	46.4	47.2	85	182
CCH SR		90.1	45.1	45.6	46 • 4	84	103
	CARROLL	95 • 4	47.4	47.6	45.4	82	124
	CARROLL	94.4	47.4	48.4	46.2	88	124
H SCHO		99.0	49.5	49.4	49.2	94	165
Y HIGH		91.5	46.0	4554	45.3	81	104
	CHATTOOGA COU	96.2	47.7	47.1	47.1	91	174
SCHOOL		92.6	47.3	47.2	46.5	91	143
HIGH	FAYETTE	98.2	48.6	48.3	47.6	90	172
112 011	FLOYD COUNTY	99.8	49.2	48.8	47.9	94	190
	FLOYD COUNTY	95.7	48.9	46.9	45.8	96	144
	FLOYD COUNTY	98•5	50.1	49.8	47.4	94	173
•	FULTON	93.7	46.7	46.8	44.3	86	140
HOOL	FULTON	96.7	48.6	48.5	48.5	98	162
,1100L	FULTON	92.6	45.6	46.5	44.7	90	110

CATEGORY 26 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

GH

OL

00

SC

GH

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal		AVERAGE TAP 2		AE SUE
RUSSELL HIGH SCHOOL	FULTON	94.6	46.3	47.5	46.5	
GILMER HIGH SCHOOL	GILMER	97.4	47.7	48.1	46.1	
CENTRAL GWINNETT	GWINNETT	99.6	49.7	49.9	49.9	
NORCROSS HIGH	GWINNETT	96.2	47.5	48.0	47.0	ě
NORTH GWINNETT H S	GWINNETT	94.6	46.1	47.1	46.6	
NORTH HALL	HALL	98.1	48.8	48.0	47.1	4
HARALSUN COUNTY HIGH		95.1	47 • 4	45.6	47.6	
JEFF DAVIS HIGH	JEFF DAVIS	89.7	44.7	44.9	44.4	
LUMPKIN COUNTY HIGH		97.4	49.7	48.2	49.4	i
MURRAY COUNTY HIGH	MURRAY	97.8	48.7	48.6	47.6	i
PEACH COUNTY	PEACH	92.8	46.9	47.7	47.1	
PICKENS HIGH SCHOOL	PICKENS	95 •6	47 • 4	48.8	48.2	
BLACKSHEAR HIGH	PIERCE	91.6	47.2	44.1	46.7	
ROCKMART HIGH HOOL	POLK	96.2	47.1	46.3	44.3	٠
RABUN COUNTY HS	RABUN	98.2	49.0	48.7	46.6	
HEPHZIBAH HIGH SCHOO	RICHMOND	99.9	48.1	48.6	47.1	1
WEST ROME HIGH SCH	ROME	99.5	48.9	49.6	48.8	
TELFAIR COUNTY HIGH	TELFAIR	90.9	46.2	46.0	45.4	•
CHATTANOOGA VALLEY H		95.3	46.3	47.4	46 • 9	
LAFAYETTE HIGH S	WALKER	95.9	48.3	48.2	47.3	
MONROE AREA HIGH	WALTON	93.7	47.2	47.4	46.8	
WHITE COUNTY HIGH SC		91.2	43.5	44.1	43.0	
EASTBRUOK HIGH SCH	WHITFIELD	94.2	45.7	48.0	46.7	
NORTH WHITFIELD HIGH		95 • 8	46.6		47.3	

CATEGORY 26 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
L	FULTON	94.6	46.3	47.5	46.5	81	173
ł	GILMER	97.4	47.7	48.1	46.1	94	121
	GWINNETT	99.6	49.7	49.9	49.9	99	135
•	GWINNETT	96.2	47.5	48.0	47.0	99	198
į.	GWINNETT	94.6	46.1	47.1	46.6	96	131
	HALL	98.1	48.8	48.0	47.1	97	197
GH	HARALSON	95.1	47.4	45.6	47.6	89	129
	JEFF DAVIS	89.7	44.7	44.9	44.4	85	174
Н	LUMPKIN	97.4	49.7	48.2	49.4	91	120
	MURRAY	97.8	48.7	48.6	47.6	99	172
ĺ	PEACH	92.8	46.9	47.7	47.1	91	198
L	PICKENS	95.6	47 • 4	48.8	48.2	89	123
	PIERCE	91.6	47.2	44.1	46.7	86	106
OL	POLK	96.2	47.1	46.3	44.3	90	172
	RABUN	98.2	49.0	48.7	46.6	85	11 ó
00	RICHMOND	99.9	48.1	48.6	47.1	85	163
	ROME	99.5	48.9	49.6	48.8	91	185
н	TELFAIR	90.9	46.2	46.0	45.4	94	171
	WALKER	95.3	46.3	47.4	46.9	91	141
	WALKER	95.9	48.3	48.2	47.3	87	194
	WALTON	93.7	47.2	47.4	46.8	88	162
sc		91.2	43.5	44.1	43.0	84	116
.	WHITFIELD	94.2	45.7	48.0	46.7	87	116
łдн	WHITFIELD	95.8	46.6	46.7	47.3	97	178

CATEGORY 27 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 28 GRADE 11

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

MEAN

S.D.

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

50.9

9.4

COMPOSI

MEA

S.D

рно

50TH %	57•7 50•0 44•3	50	5TH % 5TH % 5TH %	57.4 50.9 44.3		751 501 251
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	E AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% ABOV SUBSI
LITHONIA DUBLIN HIGH SCHOOL UNION CO HIGH SCHOOL	DEKALU DUBLIN UNION	101.9 101.9 100.4	47.4 53.1 49.6	48.1 52.4 51.5	47.2 53.0 49.2	

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

50.6

9.3

57.7

MEAN

S.D.

CATEGORY 27 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

GRADE 11

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

12 A		50.6	ME	AN	50.9		MEAN 50.4	
S • D		9.3	S.	D •	9.4		S.D. 10.0	
75T	%	57 .7	79	TH %	57.4		75TH % 57.0	
5UT	/.	50.0	50	TH %	50.9		50TH % 49.9	
?5T	/.	44.3	25	5TH %	44.3		25TH % 43.0	
%		SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	: AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDENTS	N
30 V			CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE MINIMAL	
32 I			VERBAL				SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	
		DEKALB	101.9	47.4	48.1	47.2	7 5	119
	DOL	DUPLIN	101.9	53.1	52.4	53.0	77	191
	CHOOL	UNION	100.4	49.6	51.5	49.2	74	106

COMPOSITE TAP SCO.2 3



TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 29 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

COMPOS1

TA

S.D. 75TH % 50TH %	45.1 9.8 51.3 44.5 38.4	S. 75 50	D. 9 TH % 51 TH % 44	5.1 9.9 1.6 4.5 8.2		MEA S • C 751
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE Tap 3	% ABOV Subsi
MERICUS HIGH	AMERICUS	90.7	47.9	45.5	45.6	
FULTON HIGH	ATLANTA	89.4	41.1	43.0	44.7	
TURNER H M	ATLANTA	87.3	46.4	42.0	40.0	
BACON COUNTY HIGH	BACON	94.7	40.6	47.1	45.7	H
BRANTLEY COUNTY HIGH		92.8	45.3	46.1	45.6	HI
BROOKS CO HIGH	BROOKS	86.8	41.6	41.8	42.5	
JACKSON HIGH SCHOOL	BUTTS	96.6	47.2	45.3	45.2	100
COCHRAN HIGH SCHOOL	COCHRAN	93.4	47.3	47 • 6	47.7	100
HARLEM HIGH SCHOOL	COLUMBIA	92.9	47.2	46.8	45.6	OL
COOK HIGH SC.,OOL	COOK	90.4	43.8	45.0	44.4	
GORDON HIGH SCHOOL	DEKALB	88.8	42.0	42.7	42.5	OL
COLLEGE PARK HIGH	FULTON	95.3	46.2	47.3	47.0	н
EAST HALL	HALL	90.0	45.5	43.9	42.3	
STOCKBRIDGE HIGH	HENRY	94.1	47.5	47.0	45.6	
JEFFERSON HIGH	JEFFERSON	92.9	45.7	47.4	46.2	
JENKINS COUNTY HIGH	JENKINS	85.7	42.9	42.4	42.0	HIG
JONES COUNTY HIGH	JONES	89.4	44.9	45.1	45.4	БH
WEST L URENS HIGH SC	LAURENS	88.5	44.4	42.8	43.5	БH
LEE CO HIGH	LEE COUNTY	84.2	42.1	41.1	42.6	
MANCHESTER HIGH SCHO	MERINETHER	91.0	47.8	46.9	44.6	SC

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 29 GRADE 11

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

051

1EA 5.0 751 501

251

% 80v 8S I

TAP SCORE 1

'N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TG 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

6 !	45.1 9.8 51.3 44.5 38.4	S. 75 50	.D. 5TH % 5 1TH % 4	5.1 9.9 1.6 4.5 8.2		HEAN 44.7 S.D. 9.3 75TH % 50.7 50TH % 44.6 25TH % 38.2	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE Tap 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
	AMERI CUS	90.7	47.9	45.5	45.6	73	152
	ATLANTA	89.4	41.1	43.0	44.7	80	110
	ATLANTA	87.3	41.4	42.0	40.0	80	180
Н	BACON	94.7	46.6	47.1	45.7	80	125
HIGH		92.8	45.3	46.1	45.6	78	117
	BROOKS	86 - 8	41.6	41.8	42.5	66	151
HOOL	BUTTS	96.6	47.2	45.3	45.2	73	139
100L	COCHRAN	93.4	47.3	47.6	47.7	69	138
OOL	COLUMBIA	92.9	47.2	46.8	45.6	75	164
	COOK	90.4	43.8	45.0	44.4	70	174
OL	DEKALB	88.8	42.0	42.7	42.5	66	153
Н	FULTON	95.3	46.2	47.3	47.0	76	127
	HALL	90.0	45.5	43.9	42.3	66	146
1	HENRY	94.1	47.5	47.0	45.6	64	154
	JEFFERSON	92.9	45.7	47.4	46.2	73	118
IGH	JENKINS	85.7	42.9	42.4	42.0	63	119
Н	JONES	89.4	44.9	45.1	45.4	69	166
SH SC	LAURENS	88.5	44.4	42.8	43.5	70	143
	LEE COUNTY	84.2	42.1	41.1	42.6	71	100
SCHO	MERINETHER	91.0	47.8	46.9	44.6	77	113

CATEGORY 29 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
MARY PERSONS	MUNROE	86.6	43.6	41.7	43.1
MAINE BUILDING	MORGAN	89.3	45.1	44.1	45.0
OCONEE COUNTY HIGH	OCONEL	97.0	51.0	49.1	48.7
GLENN HILLS HIGH SCH	RICHMOND	97.1	48.7	49.1	47.2
KEIDSVILLE	TATTNALL	90.2	43.8	45.1	43.9
LYONS SENIOR HIGH	TOOMBS	89.8	44.6	45.1	45.0
TROUP LO HIGH	TROUP	90.6	43.9	45.1	44.0
TURNER COUNTY HIGH	TURNER	88-7	44.5	45.5	45.0
VIDALIA HIGH SCHOOL	VIDALIA	92.4	45.3	46.4	46.9

CATEGORY 29 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDENTS	N
		CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE MINIMAL	
,		VERBAL				SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	
}		_					
	MONROE	86 .6	43•6	41.7	43.1	72	141
!	MORGAN	89.3	45.1	44.1	45.0	61	172
IGH	OCONEL	97.0	51.0	49.1	48.7	74	113
H SCH	RICHMOND	97.1	48.7	49.1	47.2	68	188
	TATTNALL	90.2	43.8	45.1	43.9	66	100
GH	TOOMBS	89.8	44.6	45.1	45.0	70	108
	TROUP	90.6	43.9	45.1	44.0	77	197
IGH	TURNER	88.7	44.5	45.5	45.0	70	130
HOOL	VIDALIA	92.4	45.3	46.4	46.9	74	147



CATEGORY 30 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOS	ITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OHPOSI	sco
S.D. 75th % 50th %	38.2 8.9 43.8 37.6 32.1	S. 79 50	AN D. TH % TH %	37.6 8.5 42.0 36.7 31.7		MEA S.D 75T 50T 25T	38. 8. 43. 37. 32.
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	E AVERAGE Tap 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% ABOV SUBSI	SY
CARVER VOCATIONAL HI CENTRAL HIGH WILKINSON SENIOR HIG	TALBOT	74.8 75.7 83.4	34.6 36.9 41.8	34.0 35.8 41.5	37.4 37.2 42.5		TA AT EW

CATEGORY 31 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 30 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TA	P SCORE	3
38.2	Mé	AN	37.6		MEAN	39.5	
•	S.	D.	8.5		S.D.	8.4	
	75	TH %	42.0		75TH %	45.3	
37.6			36.7		50TH %	39.7	
32.1	25	5TH %	31.7		25 TH %	33.3	
SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	E AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDE	ENTS	N
G.O.C.	CAT VERBAL	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3			
ATLANTA	74.8	34.6	34.0	37.4	65		140
TALBOT	75.7	36.9	35.8	37.2	72		103
			1.4 E	1.2 E	9.0		184
	38.2 8.9 43.8 37.6 32.1 System Name Atlanta Talbot	38.2 ME 8.9 S. 43.8 75 37.6 50 32.1 25 SYSTEM NAME AVERAGE CAT VERBAL ATLANTA 74.8 TALBOT 75.7	38.2 MEAN 8.9 S.D. 43.8 75TH % 37.6 50TH % 32.1 25TH % SYSTEM NAME AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 VERBAL ATLANTA 74.8 34.6 TALBOT 75.7 36.9	38.2 8.9 S.D. 8.5 43.8 37.6 50TH % 36.7 32.1 SYSTEM NAME AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 VERBAL ATLANTA TALBOT MEAN 37.6 S.D. 8.5 4.6 A.5 4.6 A.6 A.6 A.6 A.6 A.6 A.6 A.6 A.6 A.6 A	38.2 MEAN 37.6 8.9 S.D. 8.5 43.8 75TH % 42.0 37.6 50TH % 36.7 32.1 25TH % 31.7 SYSTEM NAME AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 TAP 2 TAP 3 VERBAL ATLANTA 74.8 34.6 34.0 37.4 TALBOT 75.7 36.9 35.8 37.2	38.2 MEAN 37.6 MEAN 8.9 S.D. 8.5 S.D. 75TH % 42.0 75TH % 37.6 50TH % 36.7 50TH % 32.1 25TH % 31.7 25TH % 25TH % 31.7 31.7 31.7 31.7 31.7 31.7 31.7 31.7	38.2 MEAN 37.6 MEAN 39.5 8.9 S.D. 8.5 S.D. 8.4 43.8 75TH % 42.0 75TH % 45.3 37.6 50TH % 36.7 50TH % 39.7 32.1 25TH % 31.7 25TH % 33.3 SYSTEM NAME AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE % STUDENTS CAT TAP 1 TAP 2 TAP 3 ABOVE MINIMAL VERBAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL ATLANTA 74.8 34.6 34.0 37.4 65

CATEGORY 31 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR APOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 32 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

2

HIG SC H

HIG HI

COMPOSITE	TAP	SCORE	1	COMPOSITE T	AP SCORE
MEAN		44.1		Mc AN	43.1
S.D.		9.5		S.D.	9.9
75TH 7	%	50.5		75 T H %	49.6
50TH 2	%	43.5		50TH %	41.4
25TH 7	%	37.5		25Trl %	36 .0

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAG?
EAST ATLANTA	ATLANTA	86.6	41.0	40.6	40.2
EARLY COUNTY HIGH	EARLY	87.2	44.8	44.1	44.6
HARRIS COUNTY HIGH	HARRIS	85.5	42.8	40.3	41.5
IRWIN CO HIGH SCHOOL	IRWIN	86.4	45.3	44.0	42.9
LINCOLN COUNTY H S	LINCOLN	84.6	42.0	41.4	41.5
DZ HDIH YTNUCO NODAM	MACON	89.9	44.6	45.2	45.3
HAWKINS VILLE HIGH	PULASKI	91.3	46.6	45.9	48.3
WILCOX COUNTY HIGH	WILCOX	86.8	43.2	43.3	43.3
WASHINGTON WILKES	WILKES	89.7	45.4	42.6	46.D

CATEGORY 32 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

	L TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP S	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE	3
		44.1	Mc	AN 4	43.1		MEAN	43.8	
1		9.5	S.	D •	9.9		S.D.	9.3	
	i %	50.5			+9.6		75TH %	49.2	
1	, % %	43.5			1.4		5JTH %	43.3	
	× %	37.5			36.0		25TH %	37.7	
AG 1		SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDEN ABOVE MINI SUBSISTANCE	[MAL	N
•2		ATLANTA	86.6	41.0	40.6	40 • 2	49		118
.6	IGH	EARLY	87.2	44.8	44.1	44.6	57		187
.5	hIGH	HARRIS	85.5	42.8	40.3	41.5	50		143
.9	SCHOO		86.4	45.3	44.0	42.9	57		146
.5	7 H S	LINCOLN	84.6	42.0	41.4	41.5	58		103
•3	IIGH S		89.9	44 • ó	45.2	45.3	49		125
•3	HIGH	PULASKI	91.3	46.6	45.9	48.3	42		132
•3	HIGH	WILCOX	86.8	43.2	43.3	43.3	60		108
•0	ILKES		89.7	45.4	42.6	46.0	47		128

CATEGORY 33 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199
CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84
INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

MEAN	39.0	MEAN	39.2	
S.D.	8.2	S•D•	8.2	
75TH %	43.7	75TH %	43.8	
50TH %	38.9	50TH %	38.7	
25TH %	33.7	25TH %	33.5	

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
J E BRUNN L J PRICE WEST FULTON HIGH HM A BASS HIGH CALHOUN COUNTY H S ARNOLD LOUISVILLE HIGH PUTNAM COUNTY HIGH TWIGGS CO HIGH SCHOO	ATLANTA ATLANTA ATLANTA ATLANTA CALHOUN CHATHAM JEFFERSON PUTNAM THIGGS	80.9 80.7 82.9 83.7 81.5 80.6 79.1 82.5 81.0	77.5 35.9 38.1 39.4 40.5 38.0 40.4 41.4	38.1 37.0 38.7 39.0 40.2 39.2 39.4 40.8 41.0	39.0 38.3 40.0 40.5 41.8 38.0 40.5 41.5 42.2

CATEGORY 34 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 33 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199
CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84
INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

P	SCORE 1	COMPOS	ITE TAP S	CORE 2	С	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE	3
	39.0		= '	39.2		MEAN	40.0 7.7	
	8.2		.D.	8.2		S.D.		
	43.7	79		•3•8		75TH %	44.9	
	38.9	50	TH % 3	88.7		50TH %	40.0	
	33.7	29	STH % 3	33.5		25TH %	34.7	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE Tap 3	% STUDE! Above Min:		N
S		CAT Verbal	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	SUBSIST ANCI		
	ATLANTA	80.9	37.5	38.1	39.0	42		116
	ATLANTA	80.7	35.9	37.0	38.3	45		162
	ATLANTA	82.9	38.1	38.7	40.0	54		143
	ATLANTA	83.7	39.4	39.0	40.5	58		113
	CALHOUN	81.5	40,5	40.2	41.8	44		108
3	CHATHAM	80.6	38.0	39.2	38.0	45		180
	JEFFERSON	79.1	40.4	39.4	40.5	47		141
	PUTNAM	82.5	41.4	40.8	41.5	49		153
40	O TWIGGS	81.0	41.0	41.0	42.2	43		106

CATEGORY 34 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199
CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE
INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 35 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

SIT

EAN •D• 5TH 0TH 5TH

TΥ

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 2	d
MEAN	41.7	MEAN	41.7	
S.D.	8.6	S.D.	9.6	
75TH %	47.5	7 5TH %	48.0	
50TH %	40.3	50TH %	40.9	
25TH %	35.2	25TH %	33.3	•
CCHOOL NAME	CVCTEM NAME	AMEDIACE AMEDIAC	T ANEDAGE	ANEDACE
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE AVERAGI CAT TAP 1 VERBAL	E AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
MARION COUNTY HIGH	MARION	84.1 41.7	41.7	42.0

CATEGORY 35 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

9	DIIE	IAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSIT	E TAP	SCORE 2		C	OMPOSITE T	AP SCORE	3
	∟ AN		41.7	MEAN		41.7			MEAN	42.0	
	• D •		8.6	S.D.		9.6			S.D.	8.3	
	БTН	%	47.5	75TH	%	48.0			75TH %	47.2	
	0 T H	%	40.3	50TH	%	40.9			50TH %	40.5	
	5 T H	%	35.2	2 5 TH	%	33.3			25TH %	35.1	
GE			SYSTEM NAME		VERAG			AVERAGE	% STUD	ENTS	N
3				CAT Verbal	TAP 1	TAP	2	TAP 3	ABOVE MI Subsistan		
0	TY H	IGH	MARION	84.1	41.7	4	7	42.0	38		118

CATEGORY 36 GRADE 11

N ... TO 199
CAT VENUAL SCORE BELOW 84
INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

2

S

COMPOSITE TA	P SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE
MEAN	39.3	MEAN	38.7
S.D.	8.6	S.D.	8.4
75TH %	44.1	75TH %	43.6
50TH %	38.8	50TH %	38.5
25TH %	33.7	25 T H %	32.7

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
HOKE SMITH	ATLANTA	79.6	36.5	36.8	38.7
SH ARCHER	ATLANTA	80.6	37.6	37.5	38.7
WAYNESBORQ HIGH	BURKE	80.0	40.3	39.7	40.8
GREENE COUNTY HIGH	GREENE CO	79.5	40.1	39.5	40.9
HANGOCK CENTRAL HIGH	HANCOCK	80.4	40.2	37.9	39.4
MITCHELL CO H S	MITCHELL	82.5	40.5	39.2	42.1
RANDOLPH CO COMP HI	RANDOLPH	83.9	41.7	41.3	39.8
TERRELL HIGH SCHOOL	TERRELL	76.1	37.4	37.3	36.6

CATEGORY 36 GRADE 11

N 100 TO 199 CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

SCORE 1	COMPOS	ITE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE	3
39.3 8.6 44.1	S. 75	D. TH %	38.7 8.4 43.6		MEAN S.D. 75th %	39.7 8.0 44.8	
38.8 33.7			38.5 32.7		50TH % 25TH %	39.9 34.5	
SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDEN ABOVE MINI SUBSISTANCE	MAL	N
ATLANTA ATLANTA BURKE GREENE CO H HANCOCK MITCHELL RANDOLPH TERRELL	79.6 80.6 80.0 79.5 80.4 82.5 83.9 76.1	36.5 37.6 40.3 40.1 40.2 40.5 41.7 37.4	36.8 37.5 39.7 39.5 37.9 39.2 41.3	38.7 38.7 40.8 40.9 39.4 42.1 39.8 36.6	27 25 31 16 14 32 17 21		113 156 196 141 109 113 133 115



CATEGORY 37 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

T

% % %

001

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE
MEAN	53.5	MEAN	52.0
S.D.	8.2	S.D.	9.0
75TH %	58.9	75TH %	56.8
50TH %	52.8	50TH %	51.5
25TH %	47.9	25TH %	45.8

SCHOOL	NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVER TAP
BREMEN	HIGH SCHOOL	BREMEN	102.5	53.8	52.4	51
GORDON	LEE HIGH	CHICKAMAUGA	102.9	52.5	50.6	49
TOCCOA	FALLS	STEPHENS	108.5	54.4	53.6	53

CATEGORY 37 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSI	TE TAP	SCORE 2	C	OMPOSITE TAI	SCORE	3
	53.5	ME	AN	52.0		MEAN	51.2	
	8.2	S.() .	9.0		S.D.	8.6	
%	58.9	751	Гн %	56.8		75TH %	56.5	
% %	52.8	501	TH %	51.5		50TH %	50.9	
%	47.9	251	TH %	45.8		25TH %	45.7	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	E AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDEI	NTS	N
		CAT Verbal	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE HIN		
OOL	BREMEN	102.5	53.8	52.4	51.3	94		63
	CHICKAMAUGA	102.9	52.5	50.6	49.7	97		65
	STEPHENS	108.5	54.4	53.6	53.2	82		44



CATEGORY 38 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

COH

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 2
MEAN	46.1	MEAN	46.6
S.D.	8.9	S.D.	9.3
75TH %	51.4	757H %	52.9
50TH %	46.0	567 K %	46.3
25TH %	39.9	25TH %	40.2

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
D C OKLEFE	ATLANTA	88.3	41.1	41.7	43.0
BANKS CO HIGH	BANKS	92.3	44.9	43.8	46.3
ADAIRSVILLE	BARTON	93.6	44.6	44.0	43.9
BUFORD HIGH SCHOOL	BUFORD	92.1	46.0	45.1	45.4
BOWDON HIGH	CARROLL	92 • 4	45.1	46.9	44.0
MT ZION	JARROLL	88.6	42.8	44.0	40.3
TEMPLE HIGH SCHOOL	CARROLL	95.1	47.2	47.6	44.6
NORMAN PARK	COLUUITT	94.3	47.7	46.2	46.0
WESTERN SCHOOL	COWETA	91.0	4.4.8	42.6	42.1
DADE COUNTY HIGH	DADE	96.3	47.7	48.9	47.9
DAVIS HIGH	JADE	97•9	47.2	48.2	46.5
EAST FANNIN	FANNIN	90 • 4	43.9	46.5	43.3
ARMUCHEE HIGH	FLOYD COUNTY	97•6	50.5	48.4	50.0
CAVE SPRING SCHOOL	FLOYD COUNTY	97.6	48.3	40.3	48.6
HAPEVILLE HIGH	FULTON	99.6	47.9	49.5	47.4
FAIRMOUNT	GORDON	93 . 7	45.7	44.9	46.5
RED 3UJ	GURDON	93.3	43.3	41.8	45.6
DACULA HIGH	GWINNETT	91.2	43.5	45.9	45.1
DULUTH HIGH	GWINNETT	96.5	47.6	49.1	49.1
HEARD HIGH	HEARD	90•9	45.9	44.6	46.3

CATEGORY 38 GRADE 11

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

AN D. STH % STH %	46.1 8.9 51.4 46.0 39.9	S • 75	D. 5TH %	46.6 9.3 52.9 46.3 40.2		MEAN 45.9 S.D. 8.6 75TH % 51.6 50TH % 45.8 25TH % 40.2	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
	AILANTA	88•3	41.1	41.7	43.0	81	84
н	BANKS	92.3	44.3	43.8	46.3	83	73
	BARTOW	93.6	44 • 5	44.0	43.9	8 9	51
SCH00L	BUFORD	92.1	46.U	45.1	45.4	91	70
	CARROLL	92 • 4	45.1	46.9	44.0	97	98
	JARKOLL	88.6	42.8	44.0	40•9	91	49
SCHOOL	CARROLL	95.1	47.2	47.6	44.6	89	45
	COLQUITT	94.3	47.7	46.2	46.0	81	49
OL	COWETA	91.0	44.8	42.6	42.1	89	28
HIGH	DADE	96.3	47.7	48•9	47•9	94	9 5
	JADE	97•9	47.2	48.2	46.5	84	40
	FANNIN	90 • 4,	43.9	46.5	43.3	89	44
Н	FLOYD COUNTY	97.6	50• 5	48.4	50.0	96	70
SCHOOL	FLOYD COUNTY	97.6	48.3	48.3	48.6	87	32
I G H	FULTON	99•6	47.9	49.5	47.4	96	79
	GORDON	93.7	45.7	44.9	46.5	84	27
	GURDON	93.3	43.3	41.8	45.6	89	44
	GWINNETT	91.2	43.5	45.9	45.1	88	67
	GWINNETT	96.5	47.6	49.1	49.1	90	91
i	HEARD	90•9	45•9	44.6	46.3	81	82

ITE TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 38 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVLRAGE TAP 3
JACKSON COUNTY HIGH	JACKSON	89.8	44.1	42.1	45.0
RABUN GAP HIGH	RABUN	99.7	51.5	54.5	53.3
TRION HIGH	TRION	95.2	49.1	·49.3	44.6
LOGANVILLE	WALTON	94.5	48.1	48.5	45.0
VALLEY POINT HIGH	WHITFIELD	94.8	45.6	48 • 4	44.3
WEST SIDE HIGH	WHITFIELD	95 • 4	46.8	47.2	47.9

CATEGORY 39 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 40 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 38 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
Ì		VERDAL				SOSSISTANCE ELVEE	
HIGH	JACKSON	89.8	44.1	42.1	45.0	85	25
	RABUN	99.7	51.5	54.5	53.3	92	32
	TRION	95.2	49.1	49.5	44.6	90	55
	WALTON	94.5	48.1	48.5	45.0	84	65
IGH	WHITFIELD	94.8	45.6	48.4	44.3	90	78
1	WHITFIELD	95 • 4	46.8	47.2	47.9	98	98

CATEGORY 39 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 81 TO 99

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 40 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TG 80

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 41 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

SYS

ATL BRY BRY

CLI COF COW

DAW

LOMPOSITE TAP SCOR	RE 1 COMPOSITE	TAP SCORE 2	CONCOR
MEAN 45.0) MEAN	44.5	5.0
S.D. 9.3	S.D.	9.8	5.0 9.3
75TH % 51.2	? 75TH	% 51.4	1.2
50TH % 44.8			4.8
25TH % 38.5			8.5

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE Tap 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE FAP 3
ROOSEVELT	ATLANTA	94.9	44.7	45.4	42.9
AUDITORIUM	BRYAN	94.0	46.4	46.6	43.5
BRYAN CO HIGH	BRYAN	88.4	42.9	43.1	44.0
CLINCH SO HIGH	CLINCH	88.8	44.3	45.0	44.2
BROXTON HIGH SCHOOL	COFFEE	92.2	47.6	46.1	44.5
E ST CO ETA	CONETA	89.1	43.6	44.1	44.0
DANSON CO HIGH	DAWSON CO HIG	97.1	46.6	45.7	44.4
ECHOLS COUNTY	ECHOLS	92.4	46 • 4	44.3	42.8
ADRIAN'	EMANUEL	94.6	46.2	46.8	44.5
CLAXTON HIGH SCHOOL	EVANS	93.0	46.8	47.0	44.8
GLASCOCK CO SCHOOL	GLASCOCK	91.4	50. 0	47.5	46.5
WHIGHAM HIGH	GRADY	94.0	48.0	46.9	46.5
JASPER COUNTY HIGH	JASPER	85 • 4	44.1	42.4	44.2
JOHNSON COUNTY H S	JOHNSON	84.3	41.6	42.3	44.4
LAMAR BIRCH ST	LANAR	86.9	44.1	44.4	41.2
LANIER CO HIGH	LANIER	92.3	45.6	46.2	42.5
EAST LAURENS H S	LAURENS	90.0	46.3	44.3	44.7
MCINTOSH ACADEM	MCINTOSH	86.6	42.5	41.6	41.6
MONTGOMERY CO HIGH	MONTGOMERY	85.1	41.0	39.6	42.6
PELHAM HIGH SCHOOL	PELHAM	91.2	47.6	47.0	45.9

CATEGORY 41 GRADE 11

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

COM CORE 1

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

5.0 9.3 1.2 4.8 8.5	S 6 75 50	D. 5 5TH % 5: 1TH % 4:	4.5 9.8 1.4 3.8 7.6		MEAN 44.2 S.D. 8.5 75TH % 49.7 50TH % 43.4 25TH % 38.3	
SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS AROVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
ATLANTA	94.9	44.7	45.4	42.9	74	64
BRYAN	94.0	46.4	46.6	43.5	74	43
BRYAN	88.4	42.9	43.1	44.8	61	74
CLINCH	88.8	44.3	45.0	44.2	70	79
COFFEE	92•2	47.6	46.1	44.5	63	44
CONETA	89.1	43.6	44.1	44.8	74	75
DAWSON CO HIG	97.1	46.6	45.7	44.4	76	38
ECHOLS	92 • 4	46.4	44.3	42.8	61	25
EMANUEL	94.6	46.2	46.8	44.5	76	34
EVANS	93.0	46.8	47.0	44.8	74	99
GLASCOCK	91.4	50.0	47.5	46.5	65	20
GRADY	94.0	48.0	46.9	46.5	62	30
JASPER	85.4	44.1	42.4	44.2	78	63
JOHNSON	84.3	41.6	42.3	44.4	64	89
LAMAR	86.9	44.1	44.4	41.2	77	46
LANIER	92.3	45.6	46.2	42.5	67	62
LAURENS	90.0	46.3	44.3	44.7	72	72
MCINTOSH	86.6	42.5	41.6	41.6	80	92
MONTGOMERY	85.1	41.0	39.6	42.6	69	88
PELHAM	91.2	47.6	47.0	45.9	65	71

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

CATEGORY 41 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE Cat Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
PATTERSON HIGH	PIERCE	93.4	48.5	46.1	48.4
PIKE COUNTY HIGH SCH	PIKE	88.2	44.0	41.7	43.6
GLENNVILLE HIGH	TATTNALL	90.0	44.9	44.4	45.5
TOOMBS CENTRAL	TOOMBS	93.2	47.0	45.1	46.7
TOWNS COUNTY HIGH	TOWNS	97.7	49.4	50.5	47.9
TREUTLEN HIGH	TREUTLEN	91 • 1.	46.8	46.0	44.6
WOODY GAP	UNION	94.5	46.9	45.1	43.8
WARREN COUNTY HIGH	WARREN	84.8	43.1	42.3	41.6
HIGH SCHOOL	WESTPOINT	87.7	44.8	43.9	45.5

CATEGORY 41 (CONTINUED) GRADE 11

	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	% STUDENTS	N
		CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE MINIMAL	
•		VERBAL				SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	
H	PIERCE	93.4	48.5	46.1	48.4	77	52
IGH SCH	PIKE	88.2	44.0	41.7	43.6	69	90
GH	TATTNALL	90.0	44.9	44.4	45.5	74	94
L	TOOMBS	93.2	47.0	45.1	46.7	72	28
HIGH	TOWNS	97.7	49.4	50.5	47.9	76	61
	TREUTLEN	91.1	46.8	46.0	44.6	62	77
	UNION	94.5	46.9	45.1	43.8	70	8
HIGH	WARREN	84.8	43.1	42.3	41.6	67	91
	WESTPOINT	87.7	44.8	43.9	45.5	66	73

(F

CATEGORY 42 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELON CAT VERBAL SCORE BELON 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

ORE

•5 •4

.4 .5

YS1

OG/ AM/ PS/ AY/

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAI	SCORE 2	COMPOSIT
MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	40.5 9.4 46.4 39.5 32.4	MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 25TH %	39.6 9.1 46.5 39.2 31.5	MEAN S.D. 75TH 50TH 25TH
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE AVERA CAT TAP VERBAL		AVERAGE % S TAP 3 ABOVE SUBSIS
HOGANSVILLE HIGH LAMAR H S YATESVILLE H S WAYNE COUNTY HIGH	HOGANSVILLE LAMAR UPSON WAYNE	82.5 80.4 83.9 58.4 30.	4 37.6 2 41.7	42.5 41.9 41.9 38.6

CATEGORY 43 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 42 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 61 TO 80

ITE

AN D. TH TH

ST VE IST

COMPOSITE TAP S	CORE 2	COI	POSITE TAP	SCORE	3
MF AN 3	9.6		MEAN	42.0	
			S.D.	7.6	
			75TH %	46.6	
25TH % 3	01.5		EJIN A	0.4.	
-DACE AVERACE	AVERAGE	AVFRAGF	% STUDEN	TS	N
ERBAL	1A1 L				
82.5 41.8	41.4	42.5	74		88
- -		41.9	72		72
			65		53
••••					11
58.4 SU.U	20.0	55.6	0,7		
	MEAN 3 S.D. 75TH % 4 50TH % 3 25TH % 3	S.D. 9.1 75TH % 46.5 50TH % 39.2 25TH % 31.5 RAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AT TAP 1 TAP 2 ERBAL 82.5 41.8 41.4 83.9 43.2 41.7	MEAN 39.6 S.D. 9.1 75TH % 46.5 50TH % 39.2 25TH % 31.5 RAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AT TAP 1 TAP 2 TAP 3 ERBAL 82.5 41.8 41.4 42.5 80.4 38.4 37.6 41.9 83.9 43.2 41.7 41.9	MEAN 39.6 S.D. 9.1 75TH % 46.5 50TH % 39.2 25TH % 31.5 RAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE % STUDEN ABOVE MINI SUBSISTANCE 82.5 41.8 41.4 42.5 74 83.9 43.2 41.7 41.9 65	HEAN 39.6 MEAN 42.0 S.D. 9.1 S.D. 7.6 75TH % 46.5 75TH % 46.6 50TH % 39.2 50TH % 42.0 25TH % 31.5 25TH % 37.7 CRAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AT TAP 1 TAP 2 TAP 3 ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL 32.5 41.8 41.4 42.5 74 30.4 38.4 37.6 41.9 72 33.9 43.2 41.7 41.9 65

CATEGORY 43 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

CATEGORY 44 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

45.0

9.8

51.1

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

MEAN

S.D.

75TH %

c

БСН

DOL

50TH %	44.3	50	TH % 4	4.1	
25TH %	38.6	25	TH % 3	8.6	
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVEDAGE	AVERAGE	AVEDAGE	AVERAGE
SCHOOL NAME	SISIEM MAILE			TAP 2	
		VERBAL	• • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,,
		VEILUAL			
ATKINSON CO HIGH	ATKINSON	91.7	44.1	46.8	45.0
PORTAL	BULLOCH	88.3	45.6	43.5	47.0
METTER HIGH SCHOOL	CANDLER	90.3			
ST GEORGE SCHOOL	CHARLTON	90.4	43.9	43.5	41.9
CUSSETA HIGH SCHOOL	CHATTAHOOCHEE	84.6	41.0	41.1	
NICHOLLS	COFFEL	95.7	49.1	47.2	49.7
DOERUN HIGH SCHOOL	COLQUITT	92.1	46.3	46.0	44.0
CRAWFORD CO HIGH SCH	CRAWFORD	92.7	47.7	46.8	43.9
EMANUEL COUNT?	EMANUEL	89.7	45.3	44.5	45.2
LUDOWICI HIGH	LONG	84.0	41.3	42.5	45.1
GREENVILLE HIGH	MERIWETHER	84 • 4	42.7	42.6	43.7
WOODBUR HIGH SCHOOL	MERIWETHER	86.5	45.2	44.0	47.G
MILLER BOYS	MILLER	88.6	42.0	42.8	46.1
MILLER CO SCHOOL G	MILLER	93 • 4	46.9	46.5	44.8
SEMINOLE HIGH	SEMINOLE	96 • 4	50.2	49.4	49.1
SOCIAL CIRCLE HIGH S				44.5	
WHEELER CO HIGH SCHO				41.3	42.7
,					

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

45.0

9.6

51.0

MEAN

S.D.

75TH %



CATEGORY 44 GRADE 11

C AP SCORE 1

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

5	9.6 9.6 1.0 4.3 88.6	S ₄ 7! 50	.D. 5TH % 5 3TH % 4	5.0 9.8 1.1 4.1 8.6		HEAN 45.3 S.D. 8.5 75TH % 50.8 50TH % 45.3 25TH % 39.8	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDENTS ABOVE MINIMAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL	N
	ATKINSON	91.7	44.1	46.8	45.0	41	96
	BULLOCH	88.3	45.6	43.5	47.0	54	36
L	CANDLER	90.3	44.7	45.2	45.4	55	84
	CHARLTON	90.4	43.9	43.5	41.9	55	7
þL	CHATTAHOOCHEE	84.6	41.0	41.1	40.6	43	12
	COFFEL	95.7	49.1	47.2	49.7	58	30
L	COLQUITT	92.1	46.3	46.0	44.0	50	44
SCH	CRAWFORD	92.7	47.7	46.8	43.9	43	74
ŀ	EMANUEL	89.7	45.3	44.5	45.2	5 5	63
	LONG	84.0	41.3	42.5	45.1	56	61
Ì	MERIWETHER	84 • 4	42.7	42.6	43.7	41	91
por	MERIWETHER	86.5	45.2	44.0	47.0	47	62
	MILLER	88.6	42.0	42.8	46.1	48	61
5	MILLER	93.4	46.9	46.5	44.6	48	52
	SEMINOLE	96 • 4	50.2	49.4	49.1	55	98
H S	SOCIAL CIRCLE	87.2	44.1	44.5	43.2	60	60
CHO	WHEELER CO	88.7	42.5	41.3	42.7	44	60

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2 COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3



CATEGORY 45 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 41 TO 60

COMPOS	SITE TAP S	CORE 2	C	OMPOSITE	TAP SCORE	3
МЕ	EAN 3	7.2		HEAN	38.3	
				S.D.	6.5	
				75TH %	42.6	
				50TH %	39.6	
	· · · · · · ·					
6.3) in %	•••				
AVERAGE CAT	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	ABOVE H	INIHAL	N
VERBAL				2082121 W	NCE LEVEL	• .
78.5	33.2	34.9	38.4	4	7	79
82.5	41.1	41.3	38.3	4	1	46
	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL 78.5	HEAN 3 S.D. 75TH % 4 50TH % 3 25TH % 3 25TH % 3 AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 VERBAL 78.5 33.2	S.D. 7.9 75TH % 41.4 50TH % 36.9 25TH % 31.4 AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 TAP 2 VERBAL 78.5 33.2 34.9	HEAN 37.2 S.D. 7.9 75TH % 41.4 50TH % 36.9 25TH % 31.4 AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE CAT TAP 1 TAP 2 TAP 3 VERBAL 78.5 33.2 34.9 38.4	MEAN 37.2 MEAN S.D. 7.9 S.D. 75TH % 75TH % 75TH % 50TH % 36.9 50TH % 25TH % 25TH % 25TH % 75TH % 75T	MEAN 37.2 MEAN 38.3 S.D. 7.9 S.D. 6.5 75TH % 41.4 75TH % 42.6 50TH % 36.9 50TH % 39.6 25TH % 31.4 25TH % 34.5 AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE % STUDENTS CAT TAP 1 TAP 2 TAP 3 ABOVE MINIMAL VERBAL SUBSISTANCE LEVEL 78.5 33.2 34.9 38.4 47

CATEGORY 46 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 100 OR ABOVE INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

NO SCHOOL OR SYSTEM FELL IN THIS CATEGORY

OM

CATEGORY 47 GRADE 11

N 39 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

COM

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

MËAN S•D•	42.7 9.0		AN D.	41.8		
751H %				6.8		
	48.6			47.5		
50TH %	41.6			41.2		j
25TH %	36.5	25	5TH %	35.5		
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVFRAGE	AVERAGE	
		CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	
		VERBAL			•	Si
VIENNA HIGH	DOOLY	84.3	43.7	41.2	41.7	
WRENS HIGH	JEFFERSON	84.9	41.9	43.1	41.7	
QUITMAN COUNTY HIGH	QUITMAN	84.1	44.1	40.8	43.5	
JOHN LEWIS	SCHLEY	84.1	39.2	39.5	41.5	
PLAINS HIGH	SUHTER	84.3	45.2	42.4	44.5	
TAYLOR BOYS	TAYLOR	85.5	42.5	43.7	44.7	
TAYLOR GIRLS	TAYLOR	84.9	43.1	39.9	40.3	
WEBSTER HIGH SCHOOL	WEBSTER	85.0	43.4	43.5	42.0	
					. 2	

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

CATEGORY 47 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE 84 TO 99.9 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP S	SCORE 2	С	OMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 3	
42.7 9.0		EAN 4	1.8 8.8		MEAN S.D.	42.2 7.7	
48.6			.7.5		75TH %	47.0	
41.6	50	3TH % 4	1.2		50TH %	42.4	
36.5	25	5TH % 3	55. 5		25TH %	37.6	
SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE ~ CAT	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDEN ABOVE MINI		
	VERBAL	IAF I	IMF Z	TAP 3	SUBSIST ANCE		
DOOLY	84.3	43.7	41.2	41.7	39	83	5
JEFFERSON	84.9	41.9	43.1	41.7	40	98	}
QUITMAN	84.1	44.1	40.8	43.3	16	36	j
SCHLEY	84.1	39.2	39.5	41.4	33	4.8	}
SUNTER	84.3	45.2	42.4	44.5	38	39	}
TAYLOR	85.5	42.5	43.7	44.7	21	52	>
· · · · · · ·		=					_

39.9

43.5

28

29

40.3

42.0

50

35



TAYLOR

WEBSTER

43.1

43.4

84.9

85.0

CATEGORY 48 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

Cd

IAH

GH

IGH

COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE 1	COMPOSITE TAP	SCORE
MEAN	38.6	MEAN	37.7
S.D.	8.2	S.D.	8.1
75TH %	43.5	75TH %	42.8
50TH %	38.3	50TH %	37.3
25TH %	32.3	25TH %	31.6

SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT Verbal	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3
EAST BAKER	BAKER	76.7	39.7	37.7	40.1
S G A	BURKE	81.3	40.4	41.1	42.1
CLAY COUNTY HIGH	CLAY COUNTY	82.1	40.9	40.4	43.5
ATTAPULGUS MT MORIAH		72.3	35.0	34.7	36.5
SPARTA	HANCOCK	77.3	37.9	34.6	36.0
WADLEY HIGH	JEFFERSON	79.2	35.9	37.5	41.5
STEWART COUNTY HIGH	STEWART	78.5	37.4	36.7	39.4
UNION HIGH	SUMTER	79.0	40.7	39.0	39.7
TALTAFERRO COUN HIGH		74.9	37.6	35.9	38.2

CATEGORY 48 GRADE 11

N 99 OR BELOW CAT VERBAL SCORE BELOW 84 INCOME PERCENTILE 0 TO 40

CCAP	SCORE 1	COMPOS	SITE TAP	SCORE 2	(COMPOSITE TA	P SCORE	3
	38.6	Mé	EAN	37.7		MEAN	39.9	
	8.2	S	0.	8.1		S.D.	7.7	
	43.5	79	5TH %	42.8		75TH %	45.0	
	38.3	50	TH Z	37.3		50TH %	40.1	
	32.3	25	5TH %	31.6		25TH %	34.7	
E	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	E AVERAGE	AVERAGE			N
		CAT	TAP 1	TAP 2	TAP 3	ABOVE MIN	IMAL	
		VERBAL				SUBSISTANC	E LEVEL	
	BAKER	76 • 7	39.7	37.7	40.1			68
	BURKE	81.3	40.4	41.1	42.1	15		49
	CLAY COUNTY	82.1	40.9	40.4	43.5	16		70
IA	H DECATUR	72.3	35.0	34.7	36.5	29		48
	HANC OCK	77.3	37.9	34.6	36.0	22		34
	JEFFERSON	79.2	35.9	37.5	41.5	23		53
` GH	_	78.5	37.4	36.7	39.4	27		81
	SUMTER	79.0	40.7	39.0	39.7	28		75
T G	H TALTAFFRED	74.9	37.6	35.9	38.2	4		47

CATEGORY 49 GRADE 11

NON-CATEGORIZABLE SCHOOLS

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

COMPO

TA

ON

TE

DAU

η**Ι**6

S.D. 7 5 Th % 50Th %	45.2 10.6 51.8 45.2 38.2	S (75 5 (D. 5 5TH % 5 3TH % 4	46.2 10.3 33.0 46.1 38.8		M S 7. 5 2.
SCHOOL NAME	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VERBAL	AVERAGE TAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	AB SUB
•	24.2450	-		E0 4	1.5.7	
GMC	BALDWIN	1.00 • 5	49.0	50 • 1	45 •7 35 •1	
DUDLEY HUGHES	BIBU	79.8	30.8	36.3		
INTERIM EDUCATION	3163	82.2	43.8	42.2	43.8	
LANIER	3IBB	90.9	50.5	49.4	45.4	į
LANIER B	BIBB	96.0	44.9	48.5	46.2	
MARK SMITH NORTHEAST		90.9	43.2	45.4	45.4	
NORTHEAST LASSLTER	BIBB	93 • 9	48.1	46 • 9	45.6	
WILLINGHAM B	BIBB	91 •5	43.3	44.9	45.1	
CRISIS EAST BROAD	CHATHAM	80.6	39.3	38.3	37.5	
SPRAYBERRY	C084	101.3	50.4		48.2	
CAFETORIUM	MACUN	73•9	35. 3	3 5 • 2	38.8	
OGLETHORPE CO HIGH	OGLETHORPE	89.0	44.4	43.2	44.4	
ROSSVILLE HIGH	WALKER	94•9	45.3	47.3	45•6	

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 1

GRADE 11

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 2

NON-CATEGORIZABLE SCHOOLS

COMPOSITE TAP SCORE 3

1 % 5 % 4	5 • 2 0 • 6 • 1 • 8 • 5 • 2 8 • 2	S 6 75 5 (D. 1 5TH % 5 5TH % 4	6.2 0.3 3.0 . 6.1 8.8		MEAN S.D. 75TH % 50TH % 23TH %	45.0 9.6 51.4 45.0 38.3	
	SYSTEM NAME	AVERAGE CAT VER3AL	AVERAGE IAP 1	AVERAGE TAP 2	AVERAGE TAP 3	% STUDE ABOVE MIN SUBSISTANC	IMAL	N
	BALDWIN	100.5 79.8	49.0 30.8	50.1 36.3	45.7 35.1			53 :.32
ON	3163 3163 3188	82.2 90.9	43.8 50.5	42.2 49.4	43.8 45.4			213 201
HEAST	8183 8188	96.0 90.9 93.9	44.9 43.2 48.1	48.5 45.4 46.9	46.2 45.4 45.6			169 207
LTER DAU	BIBB BIBB CHATHAM	91.5 80.6	43.3 39.3	44.^ 38.s	45.1 37.5			387 25
	COBB MACON	101.3 73.9	50.4 35.3	49.8 35.2	48.2 38.8			391 75 100
-IGH	OGLETHORPE WALKER	89.0 94.9	44.4 45.3	43.2 47.3	44.4 45.6			2 73

MPO

M S 7 5 2

AB SUB TAP SCORE 1

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATEWIDE TESTING PROGRAM GRADE ELEVEN REPORT

INDEX

		<u>-</u>		
SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOL CHO
AMERICUS CITY	Americus High	22	BACON CNTY.	Bacon . mer
APPLING CNTY.	Appling Co High	9	BAKER CNTY.	East B ppl
ATKINSON CNTY.	Atkinson Co High	35	BALDWIN CNTY.	Baldwi tki GMC
ATLANTA CITY	C L Harper High Carver Voc High D C Okeefe David T Howard HS Douglass High Sch East Atlanta Fulton High Henry Grady Hoke Smith J E Brown L J Price Murphy High Sch Northside North Fulton Roosevelt S H Archer Southwest Sylvan Hills High Therrell Turner H M W F Dykes W F George Washington High West Fulton High	12 25 22 19 28 26 13 7 18 32 28 19 19 22 18 19 26	BANKS CNTY. BARROW CNTY. BARTOW CNTY. BEN HILL BERRIEN CNTY. BIBB CNTY.	Banks arv avi avi oug ast ult Adairs chr Cass H coke J urp Berrie ort oon H Interi vi Lanier Lanier Mark S Northe Southw Willia est
	Wm A Bass High	26 43	BLECKLEY	m <i>F</i>
			BRANTLEY CNTY.	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STATEWIDE TESTING PROGRAM GRADE ELEVEN REPORT

INDEX

00L	CH00LS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.
on .	mericus High	22	BACON CNTY.	Bacon Co High	22
t B	ppling Co High	9	BAKER CNTY.	East Baker	38
dwi	tkinson Co High	35	BALDWIN CNTY.	. Baldwin High Sch GMC	9 39
(S	L Harper High arver Voc High C Okeefe	13 24 30	BANKS CNTY.	Banks Co High	30
der.	avid T Howard HS ouglass High Sch ast Atlantz	36	BARROW CNTY.	Winder Barrow	9
irs s H	ulton High enry Grady oke Smith	22 1	BARTOW CNTY.	Adairsville Cass High	30 9
	E Brown J Price urphy High Sch	26 26 13	BEN HILL	•	66
rie	orthside orth Fulton	7 18	BERRIEN CNTY.	Berrien High Sch	19
ley eri ier ier the the thw lia	oosevelt H Archer outhwest ylvan Hills High herrell urner H M F Dykes F George ashington High	32 28 19 19 19 22 18 19	BIBB CNTY.	Dudley Hughes Interim Education Lanier A Lanier B Mark Smith NE Northeast Lasseter Southwest McEvoy B Williangham B	45 39 39 39 39 39 12 39
IIa.	est Fulton High m A Bass High	26 26	BLECKLEY	willianqnam B	66
EF	SIC.		BRANTLEY CNTY.		66

SYSTEM	SCHOOL PAGE	NO:	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS SCHO
DDEMEN CLTV	Brantley Co. High	22	CARROLL CNTY. CONT	3rar Villa R
BREMEN CITY	Bremen Hi Sch	29	CARROLLTON CITY	Gren Carroll:
BROOKS CNTY.	Brooks Co High ,	22	CARTERSVILLE CITY	Broc Carters:
BRYAN CNTY.	Auditorium Bryan Co High	32 32	CATOOSA CNTY.	Aud: Lakeviev ^B rya
BUFORD CITY	Buford Hi Sch	30	CHARLTON CNTY.	Ringgol (
BULLOCH CNTY.	Portal Southeast Bulloch Statesboro Hi Sch	35 19 12	CHATHAM CNTY.	St George Sour
BURKE CNTY.	S G A Waynesboro Hi	38 28		Beach Scale Crisis Groves Groves Jenkins
BUTTS CNTY.	Jackson Hi Sch	22	,	Johnson Savannal Tompkin: Jacl Windsor
CALHOUN CNTY.	Calhoun Co HS	26	CHATTAHOOCHEE CNTY	30-1
CALHOUN CITY	Calhoun High	9	CHATTOOGA CNTY.	Cal:
CAMDEN CNTY.	Camden Co High	9	CHEROKEE CNTY.	Cam Cheroke
CANDLER CNTY.	Metter High	35	CHICKAMAUGA CITY	Met Gordon
CARROLL CNTY.	Bowdon High Central High Mt Zion Temple High	30 19 30 30	CLARKE CNTY.	Bow Cedar S Mt Tem



LS SCHOOL	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.
Brantley Co. Hi	gh 22	CARROLL CNTY. COM	NT. Villa Rica	19
Gremen Hi Sch	29	CARROLLTON CITY	Carrollton High	19
Brooks Co High	22	CARTERSVILLE CITY	Y Cartersville High	18
Auditorium ie Bryan Co High ol	J2 32	CATOOSA CNTY.	Lakeview High Ringgold High	9 9
◆Buford Hi Sch tou	30	CHARLTON CNTY.	Charlton Co High	19
or Portal Southeast Bullo	35 ch 19	CHATHAM CNTY.	St George Sch	35
d Statesboro Hi S S			Arnold Beach Senior High Crisis East Broad	26 12 39
S S S S S Maynesboro Hi on	38 28		Groves High Jenkins H V High Johnson High	12 4 12
nal in Jackson Hi Sch or	22		Savannah High Tompkins High Windsor Forest Hi	12 9 12
Calhoun Co HS	26	CHATTAHOOCHEE CN		35
Calhoun High	9	CHATTOOGA CNTY.	Chattooga High	19
Camden Co High	9	CHEROKEE CNTY.	Cherokee High	2
Metter High	35	CHICKAMAUGA CITY	·	
n Bowdon High Central High	30 19	CLARKE CNTY.	Gordon Lee High	29
Zion ERIC1ple High	30 30	44	Cedar Shoals High	9

SYSTEM	SCH00LS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOULS
CLARKE CNTY. CONT.	Clarke Central	9	COMMERCE CITY	Commerce
CLAY CNTY.	Clay Co High	38	COOK CNTY.	Cook High
CLAYTON CNTY.	Forest Park Sr Jonesboro Sr Hi Morrow Jr Hi North Clayton Sr	2 2 9 9	COWETA CNTY.	East Cowe Newnan Hi Western S
CLINCH CNTY.	Clinch Co High	32	CRAWFORD CNTY.	Crawford
COBB CNTY.	Campbell	7	CRISP CNTY.	Crisp Co
	McEachern High North Cobb High Pebblebrook R L Osborne Sr	7 9 9 7	DADE CNTY.	Dade Co H Davis Hig
	South Cobb Hi Sprayberry Wheeler	9 39	DALTON CITY	Dalton Hi
	Wills High	1 7	DAWSON CNTY.	Dawson Co
COCHRAN CITY	Cochran High	22	DECATUR CNTY.	Attapulgu
COFFEE CNTY.	Broxton High Coffee Sch	32 9		Moriah Bainbridg
	Nicholls	35	DECATUR CITY	Decatur H
COLQUITT CNTY.	Doerun High Moultrie Sr Hi Norman Park	35 2 30	DEKALB CNTY.	Avondale Briarclif Chamblee
COLUMBIA CNTY.	Evans High Sch Harlem High	9 22	45	Clarkstor Columbia Cross Key

' <u>s</u>(

C

C.

FO JO MO NO

C

CMNPRSSWW

C

B C N

> D M N

E

ERIC Full fact Provided by ERIC

: -	' SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.
:e	Clarke Central	9	COMMERCE CITY	Commerce High	19
gh	Clay Co High	38	COOK CNTY.	Cook High Sch	22
we. Hi S.	Forest Park Sr Jonesboro C. Hi Morrow Sr Hi North Clayton Sr	2 2 9	COWETA CNTY.	East Coweta Newnan High Western Sch	32 9 30
^d	Clinch Co High	32	CRISP CNTY.	Crawford Co Hi	35
Co b H Hig	Campbell McEachern High North Cobb High Pebblebrook	7 7 9	DADE CNTY.	Crisp Co High Dade Co High Davis High	14 30 30
Hi Co	R L Osborne Sr South Cobb Hi Sprayberry Wheeler Wills High	9 7 9 39 1 7	DALTON CITY DAWSON CNTY.	Dalton Hi Sch Dawson Co High	7 32
lgu	Cochran High	22	DECATUR CNTY.	Attapulgus Mt	38
idg	Broxton High Coffee Sch Nicholls	32 9 35	DECATUR CITY	Moriah Bainbridge Hi Sch	12
r H le lif	Doerun High Moultrie Sr Hi	35 2	DEK <i>I</i> .LB CNTY.	Decatur High Sch Avondale Briarcliff High	9 7 7
ee ton ia Ke <i>y</i>	Norman Park Evans High Sch Harlem High	30 9 22	45	Chamblee High Clarkston High Columbia High Cross Keys High	7 7 7 7 7
Full Text	RUC				

SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOL:)0HS
DEKALB CNTY. CONT.	Druid Hills Hi	7 22	ELBERT CNTY.	Elbert	ruic
,	Gordon High Henderson High Lakeside High	1 7 21	EMANUEL CNTY.	Adrian Emanue	ordo ende akes
	Lithonia Peachtree High Sequoyah High	1 7		Swains	ithdeach each
	Shamrock DeKalb Southwest DeKalb Stone Mountain Hi	7 7 8	EVANS CNTY.	Claxto.	hamı outi tone
r	Towers Tucker High Walker High	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	FANNIN CNTY.	East F West F	ower uck alk
DODGE CNTY.	Dodge Co High	12 ,	FAYETTE CNTY.	Fayett	o d g
DOOLY CNTY.	Unadilla High Vienna High	36 37	FITZGERALD CITY	Fitzge	nad
DOUGHERTY CNTY.	vienna nign		FLOYD CNTY.	Armuch	ien
	Albany High Dougherty High Monroe High Westover High	10 2 15 12		Cave S Coosa Model Pepper	lba loug lonr lest
DOUGLAS CNTY.	Douglas Co Hi	2	FORSYTH CNTY.	Corsyt	
DUBLIN CITY	Dublin High	21	FRANKLIN CN.Y.	Frankl) ub 1
EARLY CNTY.	Early Co High	25	FULTON CNTY.	Briarw Campbe	Earl
ECHOLS CNTY.	Echols Co	32		Colleg Hapevi Headla	E c h c
EFFINGHAM CNTY.	Effingham Co	10	46	Lakesh M D Co	Effi
				4	

ERIC **
Full Text Provided by EBIC

DL: EHOOLS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.
		ELBERT CNTY.		
rt ruid Hills Hi	7		Elbert Co HS	10
ordon High enderson High	22 1	EMANUEL CNTY.		
akeside High	7	LIMITOLL ORTIT	Adrian	32
le i thonia	21		Emanuel Co	35
eachtree High	1 7		Swainsboro High	14
equoyah High	7	CVANC CNTV		
hamrock DeKalb outhwest DeKalb	7 7	EVANS CNTY.	Claxton High	32
tone Mountain Hi			oraneon might	- -
Owers	7 7	FAHNIN CNTY.		•
Fucker High			East Fannin	30 18
alker High	7		West Fannin HS	18
		FAYETTE CNTY.		
odge Co High	12	17112112	Fayette Co Hi	19
		FITZGERALD CITY		
nadilla High	36		Ficzgerald Hi	14
ienna High	37			
:h		FLOYD CNTY.	Armuchee High	30
Signatur Hank	10		Cave Spring Sch	30
Stalbany High Ougherty High Jonroe High	2		Coosa High	19
lonroe High	ī5		Model High	19
er lestover High	12		Pepperell High	19
		FORSYTH CNTY.		
yt Douglas Co Hi	2		Forsyth Co High	8
		FRANKLIN CNTY.		
kl Dublin High	21		Franklin Co High	10
		FULTON CNTY.		
rw Early Co High	25		Briarwood	15
oe a		•	Campbell High	19 22
g	2.0		College Park High Hapeville High	30
vil La	32		Headland High	18
sh			Lakeshore High	18
Co Effingham Co	10		M D Collins High	18
8		46	-	
ERIC				

SYSTEM	SCH00LS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	S CHOOLS
FULTON CNTY. CONT.	Milton High North Springs Hi	19 8	GWINNETT CNTY. CO	NT. South G
	Palmetto High Ridgeview High Riverwood High	19 8 18	HABERSHAM CNTY.	Habersh
	Roswell High Russell High Sandy Springs Hi Westwood High	18 20 18 18	HALL CNTY.	East Ha Johnson North H
GAINESVILLE CITY	Gaines ville High	8	HANCOCK CNTY.	Hancock Sparta
GILMER CNTY.	Gilmer High	20	HARALSON CNTY.	Haralso
GLASCOCK CNTY.	Glascock Co Sch	32	HARRIS CNTY.	Harris
GLYNN CNTY.	Brunswick High Glynn Academy	10 2	HART CNTY.	Hart Co
GORDON CNTY.	Fairmount Red Bud	3ú 30	HEARD CNTY.	Heard H
GRADY CNTY.		12	HENRY CNTY.	Henry C
CDEENE CATV	Cairo High Whigham High	32	HOGANSVILLE CITY	Stockbr
GREENE CNTY.	Greene Co High	28	HOUSTON CNTY.	Hogansv
GWINNETT CNTY.	Berkmar High Central Gwinnett	10 20		Northsi Perry H Warner
	Dacula High Duluth High Norcross High	30 30 20	IRWIN CNTY.	Irwin C
	North Gwinnett HS	20	47	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

100LS	<u> эснооц s</u>	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO	<u>0.</u>
ıth G	Milton High North Springs Hi	19 8	GWINNETT CNTY. CON	T. South Gwinnett	10	
ersh	Palmetto High Ridgeview High Riverwood High	19 8 18	HABERSHAM CNTY.	Habersham Central HS	10	2
t Ha inson	Roswell High Russell High Sandy Springs Hi	18 20 18	HALL CNTY.	East Hall Johnson High	22 10	
•th H	Wes two od High	18		North Hall	20	
ıcock ırta	Gaines ville High	8	HANCOCK CNTY.	Hancock Central Hi Sparta	28 38	
also	Gilmer High	20	HARALSON CNTY.	Haralson Co Hi	20	
rri s	Glascock Co Sch	32	HARRIS CNTY.	Harris Co Hi	25	
rt Co	Brunswick High Glynn Academy	10 2	HART CNTY.	Hart Co Hi	10	
ard H	Fairmount Red Bud	30 30	HEARD CNTY.	Heard High	30	
ıry C	kea baa		HENRY CNTY.	Henry Co Sr Hi	12 ·	
ckbr	Cairo High Whigham High	12 32		Stockbridge High	22	
jans v		20	HOGANSVILLE CITY	Hogansville Hi	34	
a tha i	Greene Co High	28	HOUSTON CNTY.	Naveliai da 112 ah	2	
rthsi rry H rner	Berkmar High Central Gwinnett	10 20 30		Northside High Perry High Warner Robins Hi	10 1	
vin C	Dacula High Duluth High Norcross High North Gwinnett HS	30 20	IRWIN CATY.	Irwin Co High	25	
ERIO	Chorth aminher ha		47			
1						

<u>S'STEM</u>	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCH00LS
JACKSON CNTY.	Jackson Co Hi	31	LIBERTY CNTY.	Bradwell Inst
JASPER CNTY.	Jasper Co Hi	32	LINCOLN CNTY.	Lincoln Co Hi
JEFF DAVIS CNTY.	Jeff Davis Hi	20	LONG CNTY.	Ludowici High
JEFFERSON CNTY.	Louisville Hi	26 38	LOWNDES CNTY.	Lowndes High
	Wadley High Wrens High	37	LUMPKIN CNTY.	Lumpkin Co Hi
JEFFERSON CITY	Jefferson High	22 .	MACON CNTY.	Cafetorium
JENKINS CNTY.	Jenkins Co Hi	22	MADISON CNTY.	Macon Co High
JOHNSON CNTY.	Johnson Co HS	3′2	MARIETTA CITY	Madison Co Hi
JONES CNTY.	Jones Co High	22		Marietta High
LAGRANGE CITY	LaGrange High	10	MARION CNTY.	Marion Co Hig
LAMAR CNTY.	Lamar Birch St	32	MCDUFFIE CNTY.	Thomson, High
LANIER CNTY.	Lamar HS	34	MCINTOSH CNTY.	McIntosh Aca
	Lanier Co High	32	MERIWETHER CNTY.	Greenville H
LAURENS CNTY.	East Laurens High West Laurens High			Manchester H Woodbury Hig
LEE COUNTY	_	22	MILLER CNTY.	Miller Boys Miller Co Sc
	Lee Co High	<i>L</i>	48	miller 60 3C

ERIC **

*Full Took Provided by ERIC**

<u>FLS</u>	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	PAGE NO.
Inst son Co Hi	31	LIBERTY CNTY.	Bradwell Inst.	10
o Hi er Co Hi	32	LINCOLN CNTY.	Lincoln Co High	25
High Davis Hi	20	LONG CNTY.	Ľudowici High	35
ligh sville Hi	26	LOWNDES CNTY.	Lowndes High	2
ey High s High	38 37	LUMPKIN CNTY.	Lumpkin Co High	20
_{lm} erson High High	22	MACON CNTY.	Cafetorium Macon Co High	39 25
ins Co Hi Co Hi	22	MADISON CNTY.	Madison Co High	10
son Co HS High	32	MARIETTA CITY	Marietta High	8
s Co High Hig	22	MARION CNTY.	Marion Co High	27
ange Hi g h łigh	10	MCDUFFIE CNTY.	Thomson High	12
r Birch St r HS	32 34	MCINTOSH CNTY.	McIntosh Acad	32
ie Hi er Co High ∍r Hi	32	MERIWETHER CNTY.	Greenville High Manchester High	35 22
High Laurens Hig Laurens Hig		MILLED OUTV	Woodbury High	22 35
oys o School Co High	22	MILLER CNTY.	Miller Boys Miller Co Sch	35 35
ERIC Arathet Revolution ETC		70		

SYSTEM	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	<u>SCHOOLS</u>
MITCHELL CNTY.	Mitchell Co Hi	28	PICKENS CNTY.	Pickens Hi
MONROE CNTY.	Mary Persons	23	PIERSE CNTY.	Blackshear Patterson
MONTGOMERY CNTY.	Montgomery Co	32	PIKE CNTY.	Pike Co Hi
MORGAN CNTY.	Maine Building	23	POLK CNTY.	Cedartown
MURRAY CNTY.	Murray Co High	20	PULASKI CNTY.	Rockmart H
MUSCOGEE CNTY.	Baker High	2		Hawkins vil
	Carver High Columbus High Hardaway High	10 10 8	PUTNAM CNTY.	Putnam Co
	Jordan High Kendrick Spencer High	10 2 12	QUITMAN CNTY.	Quitman Co
NEWTON CNTY.	Newton Co High	12	RABUN CNTY.	Rabun Co H Rabun Gap
OCONEE CNTY.	Oconee Co High	23	RANDOLPH CNTY.	Randolph C
OGLETHORPE CNTY.	Oglethorpe Co Hi	39	RICHMOND CNTY.	Acad Richm
PAULDING CNTY.	Paulding Co High	10		Butler Glenn Hill Hephzibah
PEACH CNTY.	Peach County	20		Laney T W Josey Westside H
PELHAM CITY	Pelham High	32	ROCKDALE CNTY.	Rockdale C
•	. Cinam nigh	J	49	Nockaule C

<u>s</u>	> SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	PAGE NO.
s Hi'	Mitchell Co Hi	28	PICKENS CNTY.	Pickens Hig h	20
hear son	Mary Persons	23	PIERCE CNTY.	Blackshear High Patterson High	20 33
o Hi	Montgomery Co	32	PIKE CNTY.	Pike Co High	33
own rt H	Maine Building	23	POLK CNTY.	Cedartown Hig h Rockmart High	11 20
svil	Murray Co High	20	PULASKI CNTY.	Hawkinsville Hi	25
Со	Baker High Carver High Columbus High Hardaway High	2 10 10 8	PUTNAM CNTY.	Putnam Co High	26
n Co	Jordan High ; Kendrick Spencer High	10 2 12	QUITMAN CNTY.	Quitman Co High	37
Co H Gap	Newton Co High	12	RABUN CNTY.	Rabun Co Hi Rabun Gap High	20 31
ph C	Oconee Co High	23	RANDOLPH CNTY.	Randolph Co Comp	28
ichm	Oglethorpe Co Hi	39	RICHMOND CNTY.	Acad Richmond Co Eutler	2 2 23
Hill bah	Paulding Co High	10		Glenn Hills High Hephzibah High Laney	20 17
sey de H	Peach County	20		T W Josey Westside High	16 8
le C	Pelham High	32	ROCKDALE CNTY.	Rockdale Co High	10
ERIC	° 		49		

SYSTEM	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS
ROME CITY	East Rome High West Rome High	10 20	TAYLOR CNTY. CONT.	Taylor Girls
SCHLEY CNTY.	John Lewis	37	TELFAIR CNTY.	Telfair Co Hi
SCREVEN CNTY.	Screven Co High	12	TERRELL CNTY.	Terrell High
SEMINOLE CNTY.	Seminole High	35	THOMAS CNTY.	Central High
SOCIAL CIRCLE CITY	Social Circle Hi	35	THOMASTON CITY	R E Lee Inst
SPALDING CNTY.	Griffin High	2	THOMASVILLE CITY	Thomasville H
STEPHENS CNTY.	Stephens Co Hi		TIFT CNTY.	Tift Co High
CTCHADT CNTV	Toccoa Falls	10 29	TOOMBS CNTY.	Lyons Sr High Toombs Centra
STEWART CNTY.	Stewart Co Hi	38	TOWNS CNTY.	Towns Co High
SUMTER CNTY.	Plains High Union High	37 38	TREUTLEN CNTY.	Treutlen High
TALBOT CNTY.	Central High .	24	TRION CITY	Trion High
TALIAFERRO CNTY.	Taliaferro Co Hi	70 38	TROUP CNTY.	Troup Co High
TATTNALL CNTY.	Glennville Hi	33	TURNER CNTY.	Turner Co Hi
TAYLOR CNTY.	Reidsville Taylor Boys	23 37	TWIGGS CNTY.	Twiggs Co Hi
		•	50	

ERIC Fruil Text Provided by ERIC

	> SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	PAGE NO.
irls	East Rome High	10	TAYLOR CNTY. CONT.	Taylor Girls	37
Co Hi	West Rome High	20	TELFAIR CNTY.	T^lfair Co Hi	20
High	John Lewis	37	TERRELL CNTY.	Terrell High	28
High	Screven Co High	12	THOMAS CNTY.	Central High	12
Inst	Seminole High	35	THOMASTON CITY	R E Lee Inst	10
	Social Circle Hi	35	THOMASVILLE CITY	Thomas ville High	12
lle H	Griffin High	2	TIFT CNTY.	-	
High	Stephens Co Hi Toccoa Falls	10 29	TOOMBS CNTY.	Tift Co High	10
High entr	Stewart Co Hi	38		Lyons Sr High Toombs Central	23 33
Hi gi	Plains High	37	TOWNS CNTY.	Towns Co High	33
Hi g	Union High	38	TREUTLEN CNTY.	Treutlen High	33
gh	Central High	24	TRION CITY	Trio n High	31
Higl	Taliaferro Co Hi	70 38	TROUP CNTY.	Troup Co High	23
o Hi	Glennville Hi Reidsville	33 23	TURNER CNTY.	Turner Co High	. 23
o Hi	Taylor Boys	37	TWIGGS CNTY.	Twiggs Co High	26

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

SYSTEM	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOL:
UNION CNTY.	Union Co High	21	WEST POINT CITY	High S
	Woody Gap	33	WHEELER CNTY.	Wheele
UPSON CNTY.	Yatesville Hi	34	WHITE CNTY.	WIICETC
VALDOSTA CITY	Valdosta High	14		White
VIDALIA CITY	W2 4-12 - U2 -L	23	WHITFIELD CNTY.	Eastbr North
WALKER CNTY.	Vidalia High	23		Valley West S
WILKER OHII.	Chattanooga Valley High	20	WILCOX CNTY.	Wilcox
	LaFayette High Ross v ille High	20 39	WILKES '.	WIICOX
WALTON CNTY.	Loganville	31		Washin
	Monroe Area Hi	20	WILKINSON CNTY.	Wilkin
WARE CNTY.	Ware Senior Hi	10	WORTH CNTY.	Worth
WARREN CNTY.	Warren Co Hi	33		
WASHINGTON CNTY.	Washington Co Hi	15		
WAYCROSS CITY	-	12		
WAYNE CNTY.	Waycross High	: 2		
HULL OUT I	Wayne Co High Wayne County Hi	13 34		
WEBSTER CNTY.	Webster High	37		
		•	51	

SCH00LS	PAGE NO.	SYSTEM	SCHOOLS	PAGE NO.
,		WEST POINT CITY		
Union Co High	21	WEG1 - 02-11 - 03-17	High School	33
Woody Gap	33	INFELED ONTY		
•		WHEELER CNTY.	Wheeler Co High	35
Yatesville Hi	34			
ides ville in		WHITE CNTY.	Uhita Ca Uigh	20
	2.4		White Co High	20
Valdósta High	14	WHITFIELD CNTY.		
			Eastbrook High	20 20
Vidalia High	23		North Whitfield	31
•			Valley Point Hi West Side High	31
••			west side nigh	0.
Chattanooga	20	WILCOX CNTY.		•
Valley Ĥigh LaFayette High	20	W1200X 0X1	Wilcox Co High	25
Rossville High	39			
RUSSVIIIE IIIGII		WILKES CNTY.	11.25	25
			Washington Wilkes	23
Loganville	31	LITERATE ON CALTY		
Monroe Area Hi	20	WILKINSON CNTY.	Wilkinson Sr Hi	24
Ware Senior Hi	10	WORTH CNTY.		13
Ware common			Worth Co High	13
	22			
Warren Co Hi	33			
Washington Co Hi	15			
was mingration and the second				
	10			
Waycross High	12			
			•	-
Wayne Co High	13			
Wayne County Hi	34			
Nobeton Hish	37			
Webster High	57		•	

Jan. ...

ERIC"

00L

ıh S

ele

te

tbr rth lley st S

l co x

shin

lkin

rth

Υ.